ISSN: 3116-3475
International Journal
of Education, Research, and Innovation Perspectives
Volume 2 Issue 6 (June 2026)

Leadership Communication in Philippine Public Administration: An Analysis of DepEd's 2026 Work from Home Memoranda

Trexie L. Abias and Ma. Harriet C. Severino
Department of Education – Schools Division of Leyte
trexieluceloabias@gmail.com, harrietseverino1@gmail.com

Date Submitted:
April 12, 2026

Date Accepted:
May 25, 2026

Date Published:
June 30, 2026

DOI:
10.5281/zenodo.24523641

ABSTRACT

This paper critically examines the leadership communication strategies employed by the Philippine Department of Education (DepEd) in implementing the 2026 Work-from-Home (WFH) arrangement pursuant to national energy conservation directives. The case highlights the interplay between bureaucratic authority and adaptive leadership practices in a large public education bureaucracy. Using DepEd Memorandum No. 018, series of 2026, its clarificatory issuances, and Division Memorandum No. 190, series of 2026, as primary references, the study analyzes how communication strategies were used to inform, persuade, manage conflict, and implement policy decisions. The analysis identifies four key strategies: top-down directive communication, clarification and reiteration, persuasive framing for inclusivity, and conflict management through suspension. These strategies ensured clarity and compliance but revealed limitations in participatory dialogue and expectation management. The evaluation draws on leadership and public administration frameworks, including Weberian bureaucracy, transformational leadership, adaptive leadership, and the New Public Service model, while situating findings within Philippine cultural values such as *pakikisama*, *bayanihan*, and *kapwa*. Recommendations emphasize strengthening participatory mechanisms, enhancing adaptive framing, expanding multi-channel communication platforms, and balancing authority with empathy. The study concludes that while DepEd's communication strategies achieved compliance, greater effectiveness requires integrating participatory governance and culturally resonant communication practices. This case contributes to the broader discourse on leadership communication in Philippine public administration, underscoring the need to balance directive authority with inclusivity and responsiveness.

Keywords: *leadership communication, Philippine public administration, work-from-home arrangement, bureaucratic authority, adaptive leadership, transformational leadership, participatory governance, policy implementation, Philippine cultural values, Department of Education*

INTRODUCTION

The Department of Education (DepEd) in the Philippines is the country's largest bureaucracy, responsible for managing basic education services for over 27 million learners nationwide. Its governance framework is highly hierarchical, reflecting the Weberian model of bureaucracy where authority flows from the Secretary of Education to Undersecretaries, Assistant Secretaries, Regional Directors, Schools Division Superintendents, and finally to school heads and administrative officers (Weber, 1947). This layered structure ensures compliance but also creates challenges in communication and policy adaptation, particularly in decentralized contexts where division offices and schools must interpret and implement national directives (Laguda et al., 2025).

ISSN: 3116-3475
International Journal
of Education, Research, and Innovation Perspectives
Volume 2 Issue 6 (June 2026)

In March 2026, DepEd issued Memorandum No. 018, s. 2026, mandating the *Implementation of Energy Conservation Protocols and Flexible Work Arrangements* pursuant to Memorandum Circular No. 114 from the Office of the President. The directive introduced a four-day onsite workweek (Monday to Thursday) and designated Friday as a common Work-from-Home (WFH) day for non-teaching and related-teaching personnel. This was later clarified through a Secretary's Memorandum (March 11, 2026), which emphasized that school-based non-teaching and teaching personnel must continue onsite work to ensure uninterrupted classes and End-of-School-Year (EOSY) activities. At the local level, Division Memorandum No. 190, s. 2026 in Leyte reiterated the directive, underscoring the importance of immediate dissemination and compliance.

The administrative issue at hand lies in balancing energy conservation and operational efficiency with the continuity of educational services. Rising fuel costs and resource constraints prompted the government to adopt conservation measures, consistent with the Energy Efficiency and Conservation Act (Republic Act No. 11285), which institutionalizes energy efficiency as a national policy (Lawphil, 2018). The Department of Energy's National Energy Efficiency and Conservation Plan 2023–2050 further emphasizes the role of public institutions in reducing consumption and optimizing resources (DOE, 2023). DepEd's adoption of WFH arrangements thus reflects broader national priorities in sustainability and resource management.

Stakeholders affected by this policy include:

- **Non-teaching and related-teaching personnel**, who benefit from WFH arrangements.
- **Teaching personnel**, who remain onsite and may perceive inequity in workload distribution.
- **School heads and administrators** are responsible for compliance, monitoring, and reporting.
- **Students and parents** are indirectly affected by the continuity of classes and administrative services.
- **Government officials and the public**, who expect accountability, efficiency, and adherence to conservation mandates.

This case highlights the critical role of leadership communication in public administration. Studies on the DepEd bureaucracy note that administrative staff often conceptualize their roles through the lens of compliance and service delivery, navigating complex bureaucratic expectations (Mojica & Canoy, 2025). Leadership communication in this context is not merely about issuing directives but about shaping stakeholder perceptions, managing conflict, and ensuring policy legitimacy. As Rodriguez et al. (2024) argue, effective communication in Philippine public administration is essential for governance effectiveness, particularly in times of resource constraints and organizational change.

Thus, the WFH memoranda of 2026 provide a rich case for examining how bureaucratic communication strategies—clarification, reiteration, and persuasive framing—impact stakeholder reactions, administrative compliance, and the broader goals of energy conservation and educational continuity.

LEADERSHIP COMMUNICATION STRATEGIES USED

The Department of Education's communication strategies in implementing the 2026 Work-from-Home (WFH) arrangement reflect the dual character of Philippine public administration: deeply rooted in bureaucratic authority yet increasingly adaptive to situational realities. In the Philippine context, leadership communication is often marked by a tension between top-down directive styles, which emphasize compliance and uniformity, and participatory governance approaches, which highlight inclusivity and responsiveness to stakeholder needs (Rodriguez et al., 2024). The DepEd case illustrates this tension vividly, as memoranda were used to enforce policy while simultaneously attempting to frame directives in ways that addressed equity and operational concerns.

ISSN: 3116-3475
International Journal
of Education, Research, and Innovation Perspectives
Volume 2 Issue 6 (June 2026)

Top-down Directive Communication

DepEd memoranda such as DM 018, s. 2026 and Division Memorandum No. 190, s. 2026 exemplifies the Weberian bureaucratic model, where authority is exercised through formal rules and hierarchical dissemination (Weber, 1947). This style of communication is necessary in a bureaucracy as vast as DepEd, which oversees millions of personnel and learners across the country. By issuing clear memoranda, the leadership ensured uniformity of interpretation and compliance across multiple administrative layers.

In the Philippine setting, directive communication is the most common form of leadership communication in government agencies. It ensures clarity but can also risk alienating stakeholders if not balanced with consultative mechanisms. For example, school-based personnel often perceive memoranda as rigid orders rather than negotiated agreements, which may reduce morale if directives are not accompanied by dialogue.

Clarification and Reiteration

Recognizing the risk of misinterpretation, DepEd employed clarification and reiteration strategies. The March 11, 2026, memorandum clarified ambiguities by distinguishing between teaching and non-teaching personnel, ensuring that classroom instruction remained uninterrupted while administrative staff could benefit from WFH arrangements. Division-level reiterations, such as those issued in Leyte, reinforced compliance at the local level.

This practice reflects the decentralized governance model of DepEd, in which division offices serve as intermediaries between central directives and school-level realities (Laguda et al., 2025). In the Philippine context, reiteration is not simply procedural but essential, as local offices often require clear reinforcement of national directives to adapt them to their own operational conditions. It also demonstrates the importance of cascading communication in a bureaucracy where multiple layers of authority must align to achieve policy coherence.

Persuasive Framing for Inclusivity

Another important strategy was persuasive framing for inclusivity. The memoranda emphasized that WFH arrangements would benefit vulnerable groups such as solo parents, senior citizens, and persons with disabilities. This aligns with transformational leadership communication, which motivates stakeholders by appealing to values of fairness and equity (Bass & Riggio, 2006).

In the Philippine cultural context, this resonates with collectivist norms and the principle of *pakikisama* (harmonious relations), where policies framed around inclusivity are more likely to gain acceptance. It also echoes the governance style of reformist leaders such as Jesse Robredo, who championed participatory and inclusive communication in local government (Brillantes & Fernandez, 2011). By framing WFH as both an energy-saving measure and an inclusive policy, DepEd sought to build legitimacy and foster employee buy-in, moving beyond mere compliance toward a sense of shared purpose.

Conflict Management through Suspension

Finally, DepEd demonstrated conflict management by adapting the suspension of WFH for school-based non-teaching staff during End-of-School-Year (EOSY) activities. This decision reflected an understanding that rigid application of policy could disrupt critical school operations. By temporarily suspending WFH in this context, DepEd balanced the goals of energy conservation with the operational realities of schools.

This adaptive communication strategy aligns with Heifetz's concept of adaptive leadership, where leaders adjust directives to fit situational demands rather than insisting on uniformity (Heifetz, 1994). In the Philippine context, this reflects the cultural value of *Bayanihan*—the spirit of collective effort—where flexibility is exercised to ensure that essential services continue smoothly. It also illustrates the pragmatic nature of Philippine governance, where rules are often adjusted to accommodate pressing realities without undermining overall policy integrity.

ISSN: 3116-3475
International Journal
of Education, Research, and Innovation Perspectives
Volume 2 Issue 6 (June 2026)

Philippine Contextual Insights

Leadership communication in Philippine public administration often relies on memoranda, circulars, and directives as instruments of control. However, studies highlight that effective governance requires dialogic communication—engaging stakeholders in two-way exchanges rather than one-way compliance (Mojica & Canoy, 2025). The DepEd case illustrates both the strengths and limitations of directive communication: while clarity and authority were achieved, opportunities for participatory dialogue with school-based personnel were limited.

CRITICAL EVALUATION OF COMMUNICATION EFFECTIVENESS

The communication strategies employed by the Department of Education (DepEd) in implementing the 2026 Work-from-Home (WFH) arrangement were effective in ensuring compliance but revealed both strengths and limitations when assessed against public administration and leadership communication frameworks. In the Philippine context, communication effectiveness must be evaluated not only in terms of clarity and authority but also inclusivity, responsiveness, and cultural resonance.

Strengths of Communication Effectiveness

One of the most notable strengths of DepEd's communication was its clarity and consistency. The issuance of DM 018, s. 2026, followed by clarificatory and reiterative memoranda, ensured that directives were unambiguous and uniformly disseminated across the bureaucracy. This reflects Kotter's (1996) principle that successful organizational change requires clear communication of vision and strategy. By anchoring the policy in Memorandum Circular No. 114 from the Office of the President, DepEd also enhanced the legitimacy of its directives, reinforcing compliance through hierarchical authority.

Another strength was the persuasive framing of inclusivity, which highlighted benefits for solo parents, senior citizens, and persons with disabilities. This aligns with transformational leadership communication, which motivates stakeholders by appealing to shared values (Bass & Riggio, 2006). In the Philippine cultural context, this resonates with *pakikisama* (harmonious relations) and *kapwa* (shared identity), values that encourage acceptance of policies framed around fairness and collective well-being. By embedding inclusivity in its communication, DepEd strengthened stakeholder buy-in and reduced resistance.

Weaknesses and Limitations

Despite these strengths, the communication strategies also revealed significant limitations. The most evident weakness was the lack of participatory dialogue. Communication was largely one-way, with memoranda serving as instruments of control rather than platforms for engagement. This reflects the bureaucratic tradition in Philippine governance, where compliance is prioritized over consultation (Mojica & Canoy, 2025). As a result, school-based personnel, particularly those excluded from WFH arrangements, may have felt marginalized, leading to perceptions of inequity.

Another limitation was the timing of policy suspension for school-based non-teaching staff during End-of-School-Year (EOSY) activities. While operationally necessary, the abrupt suspension may have caused frustration among personnel who had anticipated WFH benefits under DO 004, s. 2025. This highlights a gap in adaptive communication, where stakeholder expectations were not adequately managed. In the Philippine context, where *utang na loob* (debt of gratitude) and relational trust play a significant role in organizational dynamics, failure to acknowledge employee concerns can erode morale and trust in leadership.

Finally, while monitoring mechanisms such as Daily Logs and Accomplishment Reports were emphasized, the communication did not sufficiently address frontline realities such as internet connectivity issues, workload distribution, and digital capacity. This reflects a gap between policy design and implementation, a common challenge in Philippine public administration where resource constraints often hinder effective execution (Rodriguez et al., 2024).

ISSN: 3116-3475
International Journal
of Education, Research, and Innovation Perspectives
Volume 2 Issue 6 (June 2026)

Cultural and Governance Lens

Evaluating communication effectiveness in the Philippine context requires consideration of cultural values and governance frameworks. The reliance on memoranda reflects the bureaucratic tradition of directive communication, but effectiveness is limited when policies do not engage with cultural norms such as *bayanihan* (collective effort) and *pakikipagkapwa* (shared humanity). Moreover, compliance with Republic Act No. 11032, the Ease of Doing Business and Efficient Government Service Delivery Act of 2018, requires that communication strategies ensure efficiency and responsiveness. While DepEd emphasized zero-backlog and service continuity, the absence of participatory mechanisms limited alignment with the law's spirit of citizen-centered governance.

Overall Assessment

Overall, DepEd's communication strategies were effective in achieving compliance and clarity but less effective in fostering inclusivity and dialogue. The reliance on directive communication ensured uniformity, but the lack of participatory engagement limited stakeholder ownership of the policy. The adaptive suspension of WFH during EOSY activities demonstrated flexibility but also revealed gaps in expectation management. In the Philippine public administration context, communication effectiveness must balance bureaucratic authority with participatory governance, ensuring that policies resonate not only with organizational goals but also with cultural values and stakeholder realities.

RECOMMENDED COMMUNICATION IMPROVEMENTS

While the Department of Education's communication strategies in implementing the 2026 Work-from-Home (WFH) arrangement achieved clarity and compliance, several improvements can be recommended to enhance leadership effectiveness. These recommendations draw from public administration theories, leadership communication frameworks, and Philippine cultural values, ensuring that future communication strategies are both authoritative and participatory.

Strengthening Participatory Communication Mechanisms

One of the most pressing improvements is the need to adopt participatory communication mechanisms. DepEd's reliance on memoranda ensured clarity but limited opportunities for dialogue. To address this, consultative forums, surveys, and feedback channels should be institutionalized before major policy changes are implemented. This would allow personnel—especially school-based staff—to voice concerns and provide input, fostering a sense of ownership. In the Philippine context, this aligns with the principle of *pakikipagkapwa* (shared humanity), where inclusive dialogue strengthens trust and legitimacy. It also resonates with the New Public Service framework, which emphasizes serving citizens rather than steering them (Denhardt & Denhardt, 2015).

Enhancing Adaptive and Context-Sensitive Framing

DepEd should also improve its adaptive communication framing by highlighting not only compliance and conservation but also the long-term benefits of policies for organizational efficiency and employee well-being. For instance, WFH arrangements could be framed as part of a broader modernization agenda that supports digital transformation in education. This adaptive framing would help manage expectations and reduce frustration when policies are temporarily suspended, such as during End-of-School-Year (EOSY) activities. In the Philippine cultural context, adaptive framing resonates with *bayanihan* (collective effort), where policies are understood as shared sacrifices for the greater good.

Expanding Multi-Channel Communication Platforms

Another improvement lies in the use of multi-channel communication platforms. While memoranda remain the formal instrument of directive communication, DepEd should complement these with digital platforms such as DepEd Commons, official social media accounts, and localized webinars. These platforms can disseminate

ISSN: 3116-3475
International Journal
of Education, Research, and Innovation Perspectives
Volume 2 Issue 6 (June 2026)

FAQs, clarifications, and real-time updates, ensuring accessibility for personnel in remote areas. In the Philippine setting, where digital divides persist, multi-channel communication ensures inclusivity and prevents miscommunication. This also aligns with Republic Act No. 11032 (Ease of Doing Business Act), which mandates efficient and citizen-centered service delivery.

Balancing Authority with Empathy and Support

Finally, DepEd should balance bureaucratic authority with empathetic communication. While memoranda emphasize compliance, leaders must also acknowledge the challenges faced by personnel excluded from WFH arrangements. Offering compensatory measures, such as flexible hours during EOSY or wellness programs, would demonstrate empathy and strengthen morale. This approach aligns with transformational leadership, which motivates through vision and care rather than compliance alone (Bass & Riggio, 2006). In the Philippine cultural context, empathetic communication reflects *utang na loob* (debt of gratitude) and *pakikisama*, values that reinforce relational trust between leaders and subordinates.

Overall Recommendation

In sum, DepEd's communication strategies can be improved by integrating participatory mechanisms, adaptive framing, multi-channel dissemination, and empathetic leadership. These improvements would not only enhance compliance but also foster trust, inclusivity, and resilience in the face of administrative challenges. By balancing bureaucratic authority with participatory governance, DepEd can ensure that its communication strategies resonate with both organizational goals and Philippine cultural values.

CONCLUSION

The case of the Department of Education's (DepEd) implementation of the 2026 Work-from-Home (WFH) arrangement demonstrates the critical role of leadership communication in shaping administrative compliance, stakeholder reactions, and policy legitimacy. By examining the memoranda issued at the national and division levels, it becomes clear that communication strategies in Philippine public administration must balance bureaucratic authority with participatory responsiveness.

Synthesis of Findings

DepEd's communication strategies combined directive authority, clarification and reiteration, persuasive framing for inclusivity, and adaptive suspension. These approaches ensured clarity, reinforced compliance, and attempted to build legitimacy by appealing to equity and inclusivity. However, the reliance on one-way communication limited opportunities for dialogue, and abrupt adjustments—such as the suspension of WFH during End-of-School-Year activities—revealed gaps in expectation management.

Implications for Leadership Communication

The case underscores that effective communication in Philippine public administration cannot rely solely on memoranda and hierarchical directives. While these instruments are necessary for clarity in a large bureaucracy, they must be complemented by participatory mechanisms that engage stakeholders in dialogue. In the Philippine cultural context, values such as *pakikisama* (harmonious relations), *bayanihan* (collective effort), and *kapwa* (shared identity) highlight the importance of communication that fosters inclusivity and relational trust. Without these, policies risk being perceived as rigid impositions rather than shared commitments.

Lessons for Public Administration Practice

From a broader perspective, the case illustrates the evolving nature of governance in the Philippines. Bureaucratic traditions rooted in Weberian authority remain dominant, but there is increasing recognition of the need for transformational and adaptive leadership communication. Policies such as the WFH arrangement must

not only be clear and authoritative but also culturally resonant, inclusive, and responsive to frontline realities. This aligns with the principles of the New Public Service framework, which emphasizes serving citizens through dialogue and collaboration rather than steering them through directives (Denhardt & Denhardt, 2015).

Concluding Statement

In conclusion, the DepEd's WFH memoranda of 2026 provide a valuable case study in leadership communication within Philippine public administration. They highlight both the strengths of directive clarity and the limitations of one-way communication. Moving forward, public administrators must embrace a more balanced approach—anchoring communication in bureaucratic authority while integrating participatory, adaptive, and empathetic strategies. Such an approach will not only enhance compliance but also build trust, inclusivity, and resilience in governance, ensuring that policies resonate with both organizational goals and the cultural values of the Filipino people.

References

Bass, B. M. (1990). From transactional to transformational leadership: Learning to share the vision. *Organizational Dynamics, 18*(3), 19–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/0090-2616(90)90061-S

Bass, B. M., & Riggio, R. E. (2006). *Transformational leadership* (2nd ed.). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Brillantes, A. B., & Fernandez, M. T. (2011). Restoring trust and building integrity in government: Issues and concerns in the Philippines and areas for reform. *International Public Management Review, 12*(2), 55–77.

Clampitt, P. G. (2017). *Communicating for managerial effectiveness* (5th ed.). Sage Publications.

Denhardt, J. V., & Denhardt, R. B. (2015). *The new public service: Serving, not steering* (4th ed.). Routledge.

Department of Education. (2025). *DepEd Order No. 004, s. 2025: Guidelines on the adoption of flexible work arrangements in the Department of Education*. Department of Education. https://www.deped.gov.ph

Department of Education. (2026a). *DepEd Memorandum No. 018, s. 2026: Implementation of energy conservation protocols and flexible work arrangements in the Department of Education pursuant to Memorandum Circular No. 114*. Department of Education. https://www.deped.gov.ph

Department of Education. (2026b). *Clarification on the implementation of work-from-home every Friday under DepEd Memorandum No. 018, s. 2026*. Department of Education. https://www.deped.gov.ph

Department of Education – Schools Division of Leyte. (2026c). *Division Memorandum No. 190, s. 2026: Reiteration on the implementation of energy conservation protocols and flexible work arrangements in the Department of Education pursuant to DepEd Memorandum No. 018, s. 2026*. Schools Division of Leyte. https://depedleytedivision.com

Department of Energy. (2023). *National energy efficiency and conservation plan and roadmap 2023–2050*. Energy Utilization Management Bureau. https://www.doe.gov.ph

Heifetz, R. A. (1994). *Leadership without easy answers*. Harvard University Press.

Kotter, J. P. (1996). *Leading change*. Harvard Business School Press.

Laguda, R., Aquino, G., Cangayao, L., & Tagle, R. (2025). *Realizing shared governance: Decentralization of Philippine basic education*. The Asia Foundation. https://asiafoundation.org

Lawphil Project. (2018). *Republic Act No. 11032: Ease of Doing Business and Efficient Government Service Delivery Act of 2018*. Lawphil. https://lawphil.net

Lawphil Project. (2018). *Republic Act No. 11285: Energy Efficiency and Conservation Act*. Lawphil. https://lawphil.net

Mojica, M. B., & Canoy, O. M. (2025). Navigating bureaucracy: A phenomenographic study of DepEd administrative staff's conceptions of government service delivery. *Psychology and Education Journal, 50*(9), 1107–1113.

Rodriguez, M. V. A., Tuazon, D. S., Espinosa, M. P. C., Florencondia, N. T., & Pascual, L. E. (2024). Steering through crisis: Leadership responses and governance effectiveness in Philippine public administration in the post-pandemic era. *International Journal of Progressive Research in Science and Engineering, 5*(5), 45–53.

Weber, M. (1947). *The theory of social and economic organization*. Free Press.