# Unbroken Lessons: Strengthening Philippine Educational Resilience Beyond Disasters

Nikki Rose A. Maputol[1*], Stephen V. Naul[2], Erlinda D. Tibus[3], Anabhem Enriquez[1], Ana Bacorro[1], Aileen Hinaniban[1]
nikkirose.maputol@deped.gov.ph[*]

[1]Department of Education, Division of Southern Leyte, Philippines
[2]Southern Leyte State University – Sogod Campus, Philippines
[3]Southern Leyte State University – Tomas Oppus Campus, Philippines

**ABSTRACT**

In a country where classrooms are repeatedly tested by typhoons, earthquakes, floods, and volcanic eruptions, educational continuity is never guaranteed—it is continuously earned. Situated along the Pacific Ring of Fire and the typhoon belt, the Philippines experiences recurring disasters that damage school infrastructure, displace learners, and interrupt teaching–learning processes. Yet across these disruptions, the Philippine education system has demonstrated a capacity to adapt through emergency learning modalities, policy reforms, and community-led recovery initiatives. This commentary examines how educational resilience has been built, where it remains fragile, and why current approaches are no longer sufficient. Drawing on national policy frameworks, post-disaster education reports, and documented disruption experiences, the paper argues that resilience efforts remain largely reactive, unevenly implemented, and weakly institutionalized. Three interrelated pillars of resilience are advanced: institutional adaptability, infrastructure resilience, and psychosocial recovery. Persistent gaps include disaster-vulnerable school facilities, limited teacher preparation for emergency pedagogy, and inconsistent financing for long-term resilience initiatives. The paper contends that sustaining education in disaster-prone contexts requires a decisive shift from episodic recovery to anticipatory governance. By embedding resilience into policy, budgeting, infrastructure planning, and professional capacity-building, Philippine education can move beyond survival toward transformation—ensuring that learning remains unbroken, even amid recurring crises.

**Keywords**: *Educational Resilience, Disaster Risk Reduction, Learning Continuity, Anticipatory Governance, Philippine Education*

## INTRODUCTION

For many Filipino learners, the school calendar is shaped not only by academic schedules but by storms, tremors, and floods. Each year, typhoons flatten classrooms, earthquakes fracture school buildings, and volcanic ash clouds halt face-to-face instruction, making education one of the first public services disrupted and among the slowest to recover (Cadiz, Pascual, & Evangelista, 2018; NDRRMC, 2021). Despite this reality, schooling persists—sometimes in evacuation centers, sometimes through printed modules delivered by foot, and sometimes through improvised community learning spaces.

The Philippines consistently ranks as the most disaster-prone country globally according to the Global Risk Index, as reported in the *WorldRiskReport 2025* (WorldRiskReport, 2025). Its high exposure to typhoons, floods, earthquakes, and other hazards has made crisis response a routine expectation for schools and educators, highlighting the critical need for institutionalized educational resilience. The rapid rollout of modular learning during the COVID-19 pandemic and the swift adoption of alternative delivery modes following Typhoon Odette exemplify the system's adaptive capacity (Cresencio & Yabut, 2023). Yet these responses also reveal a deeper truth: resilience has often depended on improvisation, local leadership, and community goodwill rather than on durable systems deliberately designed for continuity.

This commentary argues that while adaptive responses have enabled short-term survival, they have also exposed structural weaknesses in preparedness, implementation, and long-term planning. Educational resilience in the Philippines remains uneven—strong in some divisions, fragile in others—and too often reactive (Rasca, 2025). What is required now is a shift from emergency response toward institutionalized resilience: a system that anticipates disruption, absorbs shocks, and adapts without sacrificing equity or quality.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

### The Persistent Problem of Educational Disruption

Disasters in the Philippines do not represent isolated interruptions; rather, they form a recurring pattern that shapes educational experience. Major typhoons such as Haiyan and Odette, earthquakes in Davao and Cebu, and volcanic activity in Taal have repeatedly forced prolonged school closures and displaced learners, particularly in coastal, rural, and low-income communities (NDRRMC, 2021; Rasca, 2025). These disruptions do more than pause instruction—they magnify existing inequalities by limiting access to learning resources, nutrition programs, and psychosocial support (Save the Children Philippines, 2025).

Research consistently identifies three compounding challenges during post-disaster recovery: inadequate disaster-resilient infrastructure, limited teacher preparation for emergency education, and unequal access to digital or alternative learning resources (Lopez, 2024; Manuel, Arias, Orfrecio, Martinez, Java, & Tagare, 2025). When these gaps intersect, learning loss accumulates, disengagement increases, and dropout risks rise, especially among already marginalized learners.

**Policy Without Preparedness: The Core Disconnect**

The Department of Education (DepEd, 2015) has articulated strong commitments to disaster risk reduction through national frameworks and guidelines, notably the Comprehensive Disaster Risk Reduction and Management in Education Framework. On paper, these policies recognize schools as critical spaces for safety, continuity, and recovery. In practice, however, implementation varies widely across regions and school divisions.

Budgetary constraints, administrative bottlenecks, and limited technical capacity at the school level often weaken the translation of policy into action. Disaster preparedness activities are frequently treated as compliance requirements rather than as embedded practices that cultivate preparedness cultures (Manuel et al., 2025; Rasca, 2025). Meanwhile, reliance on printed modular learning—although indispensable during the pandemic—has proven financially and logistically unsustainable without protected funding streams and long-term planning (Cresencio & Yabut, 2023).

**Reconceptualizing Educational Resilience**

Contemporary resilience literature emphasizes anticipation and adaptation rather than recovery alone. Within education, resilience is increasingly defined as the capacity of systems to continue delivering equitable learning under conditions of uncertainty (King & Suryadarma, 2025). This perspective aligns with disaster governance frameworks that prioritize preparedness, redundancy, and institutional learning from past shocks.

In the Philippine context, resilience must move beyond heroic responses and toward institutional memory—where lessons from each crisis are codified into policy, infrastructure standards, and professional practice (Salindo & Salindo, 2024).

**From Reaction to Anticipation: Core Pillars of Educational Resilience**

*1. Institutional Adaptability*

Adaptive learning modalities should no longer be activated only during emergencies. Flexible and blended learning systems must be embedded into regular education frameworks, supported by pre-positioned learning materials, teacher readiness protocols, and clear continuity plans (Cresencio & Yabut, 2023). When systems are designed for flexibility, disruption no longer equates to paralysis.

*2. Infrastructure Resilience*

Schools remain among the most physically vulnerable public structures during disasters. Climate-smart, disaster-resilient designs aligned with the "Build Back Better" principle are essential. Investments in flood-resistant classrooms, elevated learning spaces, evacuation-ready campuses, and

decentralized energy systems reduce both immediate disruption and long-term reconstruction costs (Lopez, 2024; Salindo & Salindo, 2024).

### 3. *Psychosocial and Community Recovery*

Disasters leave invisible scars. Learners and teachers often carry trauma long after classrooms are rebuilt. Strengthening school-based mental health services, training teachers in psychosocial first aid, and mobilizing community support networks are critical to restoring a sense of safety and normalcy (Manuel et al., 2025; UNESCO, 2025). Resilience, in this sense, is as much emotional as it is structural.

## Policy Directions for Strengthening Educational Resilience

To move decisively from reactive response to anticipatory governance, this commentary advances three policy directions grounded in existing evidence and practice.

### 1. *Education Continuity and Resilience Act*

A dedicated legal framework is needed to institutionalize disaster risk reduction in education. This includes mandatory resilience audits, localized contingency planning, and protected disaster-response funding at the school-division level, ensuring that preparedness is sustained beyond political cycles (DepEd, 2015; Rasca, 2025).

### 2. *Teacher Resilience and Capacity Program*

Teachers are the frontline of educational continuity. Sustained professional development in emergency pedagogy, trauma-informed instruction, and crisis leadership should be prioritized, particularly for educators in high-risk regions (Lopez, 2024; Manuel et al., 2025).

### 3. *Smart Schools Infrastructure Plan*

National investment must prioritize solar-powered, flood-resistant, and modular school designs in vulnerable provinces. These should be complemented by resilient connectivity solutions to ensure learning continuity during power and communication outages (Salindo & Salindo, 2024; UNESCO, 2025).

## CONCLUSION

The Philippine education system has proven its capacity to endure. Across storms and shutdowns, teachers teach, learners persist, and communities rally. Yet resilience built on improvisation is no longer enough. As disasters intensify in frequency and scale, the education sector must transition from coping to anticipating.

True resilience lies not merely in rebuilding what was lost, but in reimagining what education can become under conditions of uncertainty. By embedding foresight into governance, financing, infrastructure, and professional practice, the Philippines can ensure that education—its most powerful equalizer—remains unbroken, no matter the storm.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

# REFERENCES

Cadiz, A. P., Pascual, C. B., & Evangelista, E. V. (2018). Disaster resilience level of selected barangays in Quezon City, Philippines. *Asia Pacific Higher Education Research Journal (APHERJ)*, *5*(2). https://doi.org/10.56278/apherj.v5i2.1024

Cresencio, M., & Yabut, E. (2023). Implementation of school disaster risk reduction in coastal schools: Basis for a plan of action. *Journal of Education and e-Learning Research, 10*(2), 59–68. https://doi.org/10.20448/jeelr.v10i2.4592

Department of Education. (2015). *DepEd Order No. 37, s. 2015 – The comprehensive disaster risk reduction and management (DRRM) in basic education framework*. Department of Education (Philippines). Retrieved December 28, 2025, from https://www.deped.gov.ph/wp-content/uploads/2015/08/DO_s2015_37.pdf Department of Education

King, E. M. & Suryadarma, D. (2025). Building resilience in education systems. *Asian Development Bank Institute*. https://www.adb.org/sites/default/files/publication/1035726/building-resilience-education-systems.pdf

Lopez, V. (2024). School-based resilience leadership framework for principals in times of disaster. *AsTEN Journal of Teacher Education*. https://doi.org/10.56278/asten.vi.2743

Manuel, A. R., Arias, E., Orfrecio, M., Martinez, N., Java, V., Java, M. J., Janito, C., & Tagare, R. J. (2025). Enhancing disaster and emergency preparedness in schools: An examination of management knowledge and practices among elementary educators. *Journal of Social Knowledge Education (JSKE)*, *6*(2), 231-241. https://doi.org/10.37251/jske.v6i2.1653

National Disaster Risk Reduction and Management Council. (2021). Situational reports for typhoon odette (TC ODETTE). *NDRRMC Monitoring Dashboard*. Retrieved from https://monitoring-dashboard.ndrrmc.gov.ph/page/reports/situational-report-for-tc-odette-2021/

Rasca, B. (2025). School resilience in the face of disaster risk: Awareness, challenges, and coping mechanism . *Studies in Technology and Education*, *4*(1), 55–71. https://doi.org/10.55687/ste.v3i3.99

Salindo, P., & Salindo, J. K. (2024). Advancing disaster resilience in the Philippines: Blueprint for education extension initiative. *The Normal Lights*, *18*(2). https://doi.org/10.56278/tnl.v18i2.2761

Save the Children Philippines. (2025). 10 percent of learners affected, children still terrified by thousands of aftershocks. *Save the Children Philippines*. https://www.savethechildren.org.ph/our-work/our-stories/story/10-percent-of-learners-affected-children-still-terrified-by-thousands-of-aftershocks

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. (2025). Education in emergencies: What you need to know about education in emergencies. https://www.unesco.org/en/emergencies/education/need-know?hub=84615

WorldRiskReport. (2025). *WorldRiskReport 2025:* Focus — Flood risk and global vulnerabilities. *Bündnis Entwicklung Hilft & Institute for International Law of Peace and Armed Conflict (IFHV)*. Retrieved from https://weltrisikobericht.de/worldriskreport/