

Trends, Tensions, and Transformations: A Systematic Review of AI Integration in Education

Rogevir John Christopher C. Rivera ^{1*}, Adona P. Verdillo ²

¹ Bulacan State University - Graduate School

² Bulacan State Agricultural University - Graduate School

* rogevirjcrivera@gmail.com, donnaverdillo@gmail.com

Date Submitted:

July 25, 2026

Date Accepted:

August 10, 2026

Date Published:

August 28, 2026

DOI:

10.5281/zenodo.22140430

ABSTRACT

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is rapidly transforming global education systems, influencing pedagogy, assessment, and institutional operations. While existing studies have explored individual dimensions of Artificial Intelligence in Education (AIED), few have provided an integrated synthesis across levels, regions, and disciplines. This systematic review analyzes 100 peer-reviewed studies published between 2021 and 2025, a period marked by the post-pandemic digital shift and the rise of generative AI. Using a structured methodology combining AI-assisted and manual searches, the review identifies eight major themes: personalized and adaptive learning powered by AI; student-AI collaboration and interaction models; ethical and responsible use of AI in education; educator

roles and professional development in AIED; AI as a new discipline and learning subject; national AI policies and the role of education; societal, political, and economic implications of AI in education; and paradigms and future directions of AIED. Findings reveal that AI enhances personalization, real-time feedback, and scalability, but also introduces tensions concerning algorithmic bias, equity, teacher deskilling, and ethical oversight. The review highlights the urgent need for comprehensive teacher training, ethical regulatory frameworks, inclusive AI literacy, and interdisciplinary research. These findings provide critical insights for policymakers, researchers, and education leaders aiming to ensure that AI adoption supports human-centered, equitable, and pedagogically grounded innovation in education.

Keywords: *Artificial Intelligence in Education (AIED); Personalized Learning; AI Ethics in Education; Teacher Professional Development; Student-AI Interaction; Educational Policy and AI; Equity and Access in AIED; Systematic Literature Review*

INTRODUCTION

The rapid advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies has significantly transformed various sectors, with education emerging as one of its most dynamic frontiers (Holmes & Tuomi, 2022; Zhang & Aslan, 2021). From intelligent tutoring systems and automated assessments to adaptive learning platforms and virtual teaching assistants, AI has begun to reshape how students learn, teachers teach, and institutions operate (Feng & Law, 2021; Lin et al., 2023). Artificial Intelligence in Education (AIED) has evolved into an interdisciplinary domain of increasing global attention and investment. The use of AI in education is no longer something we can control or avoid; it is becoming an integral force in shaping the future of teaching and learning in higher education. If teachers wish to avoid being replaced by AI, they must position these technologies as learning partners—tools that enhance, rather than diminish, human instruction and creativity.

AI's educational potential lies primarily in its ability to enable personalized and adaptive learning. Through machine learning algorithms and real-time data analysis, AI can offer tailored instruction and feedback, allowing learners to progress at their own pace and according to their unique needs (Dogan et al., 2022; Dogan et al., 2023). Intelligent Educational Systems and Intelligent Tutoring Systems, for example, have been shown to enhance learning outcomes in fields such as language and mathematics (Lin et al., 2023; Xu & Ouyang, 2021). As AI technologies are increasingly integrated into online and distance learning modalities, especially post-pandemic, their role in supporting scalable, flexible, and data-driven learning environments has grown substantially (Dogan et al., 2023; Kim et al., 2022).

However, these developments are not without challenges. Scholars have warned of the ethical, social, and political implications of embedding AI into educational systems, especially in K–12 settings, where issues of surveillance, algorithmic bias, and student privacy are of particular concern (Akgun & Greenhow, 2021; Dignum, 2021; Bhimdiwala et al., 2021). Concerns have also been raised about the automation of teacher work and the potential for AI to deskill educators or undermine their professional autonomy (Bergviken Rensfeldt & Rahm, 2022; Selwyn, 2022). Critics emphasize that AI is not a neutral tool; rather, it carries embedded values and assumptions that shape educational priorities and may reinforce existing social and structural inequalities (Schiff 2021; Wang 2021).

There is a growing recognition of the need to prepare educators and learners not only to use AI tools but also to understand, question, and co-create them. Educational leaders and policymakers must address the widening gap between rapid technological development and the slower pace of curriculum reform and teacher preparation (Chiu Sampson, 2022; Chiu, 2021). Despite the increasing number of national AI strategies released globally, education is often framed merely as a workforce development pipeline rather than a critical domain for ethical deliberation, equity, and civic engagement (Schiff, 2021).

Although existing reviews have addressed specific subfields, such as intelligent tutoring (Feng & Law, 2021), AI ethics (Akgun & Greenhow, 2021), and personalized learning (Tapalova & Zhiyenbayeva, 2022), there remains a need for a comprehensive and updated synthesis of literature that captures the breadth of AIED research across geographic, disciplinary, and methodological boundaries. This is especially timely as the field transitions toward learner-centered paradigms, where students not only interact with AI but are also empowered to lead their learning with AI as a cognitive partner (Ouyang and Jiao, 2021).

This systematic review aims to critically examine recent scholarly literature on the integration of AI in education, with particular focus on the following themes: personalized and adaptive learning powered by AI; student-AI collaboration and interaction models; ethical and responsible use of AI in education; educator roles and professional development in AIED; AI as a new discipline and learning subject; national AI policies and the role of education; societal, political, and economic implications of AI in education; and paradigms and future directions of AIED. By synthesizing empirical findings and theoretical insights from peer-reviewed sources, this review seeks to answer three core questions:

1. What are the emerging trends in AI use in education?
2. What tensions and ethical concerns are raised across educational levels and contexts?
3. How are educators and institutions adapting to or transforming in response to AI technologies?

The review includes peer-reviewed journal articles published between 2021 and 2025, encompassing both K–12 and higher education settings from diverse geographical regions. This scope ensures a representative yet focused selection of literature that captures recent developments and provides cross-contextual insights.

This review makes a timely contribution to theory, practice, and policy by mapping the dynamic interplay between AI technology, educational practice, and institutional structure. It provides educators with critical perspectives on AI use, supports policymakers in developing evidence-based equitable strategies, and offers researchers a clear overview of gaps and priorities for future inquiry. This review contributes to building a more ethical, inclusive, and human-centered approach to AI integration in education.

The chapters that follow detail the review's methodology, including the search strategy and tools, inclusion and exclusion criteria, data extraction and organization, thematic analysis, and ethical considerations, followed by the results and discussion, and a conclusion with recommendations for educators, policymakers, researchers, and technology developers.

METHODS

Research Design

This study employed a systematic literature review to synthesize scholarly research on the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in education. The objective was to identify the dominant themes, benefits, tensions, and transformative effects of AI adoption in diverse educational settings across the globe. To ensure rigor and transparency, the review process followed an established protocol that combined traditional manual methods with AI-assisted research platforms, allowing greater precision and efficiency.

The review timeframe was restricted to publications from 2021 to 2025, a period that marked a pivotal shift in education due to the COVID-19 pandemic and the subsequent rise of generative AI technologies (e.g., ChatGPT), which have significantly reshaped educational discourse. This timeline was chosen to capture both the acceleration of digital learning during global school closures and the recent boom in AI-powered teaching and learning tools.

For the purpose of this study, Artificial Intelligence in Education (AIEd) is defined as the use of computational technologies—such as machine learning, natural language processing, intelligent tutoring systems, predictive analytics, and generative AI models—within formal educational settings to support instruction, assessment, administration, or student learning.

Search Strategy and Tools

A literature search was conducted using both AI-powered research tools and traditional academic databases to ensure comprehensive and interdisciplinary coverage. AI tools such as Scispace, Elicit, and Consensus were used for their semantic search capabilities, which allowed relevance-based filtering beyond basic keyword matching. These platforms helped identify peer-reviewed journal articles and reputable conference proceedings based on natural language queries and citation relevance.

The search terms included combinations such as

1. “Artificial Intelligence in Education”
2. “AIEd and pedagogy”
3. “AI literacy in schools”
4. “Teacher professional development and AI”
5. “Ethics of AI in education”
6. “Learning analytics and AI feedback”

To ensure completeness, manual searches were also conducted using Google Scholar, ResearchGate, and publisher platforms such as Springer, Elsevier, IEEE Xplore, and Wiley. Filters were applied to limit the results to peer-reviewed articles published between January 2021 and April 2025.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The following criteria were used to guide the selection of studies for this review. These ensure the relevance, quality, and focus of the included literature on AI in education.

Inclusion criteria:

1. Peer-reviewed journal articles or reputable conference proceedings
2. Focus on AI in formal educational contexts (e.g., K–12, higher education, teacher training)
3. Publications between 2021 and 2025

4. Studies addressing instructional, pedagogical, ethical, policy-related, or design-related aspects of AI in education
5. Both empirical and theoretical papers

Exclusion criteria:

1. Non-English publications
2. Studies focused solely on technical AI design without educational relevance
3. Articles published prior to 2021

Data Extraction and Organization

A total of 100 articles were shortlisted after evaluating their titles, abstracts, and full texts for relevance. For each article, the following metadata were extracted and recorded:

1. Title
2. Year of Publication
3. Authors

Significant Information About the Research

1. Country or Region of Study
2. Educational Level (e.g., primary, secondary, tertiary)
3. Type of AI Application (e.g., chatbots, learning analytics, recommendation systems)
4. Methodology (e.g., qualitative, quantitative, mixed methods)
5. Ethical or Pedagogical Themes Discussed

To systematically track and compare the literature, a Review of Related Literature (RRL) Matrix was developed and included in this study (see Appendix A). This matrix captures key information from each source, including the title, year of publication, authors, significant information, and thematic contributions. It served as a foundational tool to ensure transparency and consistency during analysis.

The RRL Matrix also facilitated thematic clustering by enabling cross-referencing of concepts and outcomes across studies. Based on patterns identified in the matrix, the 100 articles were organized into eight thematic batches for deeper analysis and synthesis.

Thematic Analysis

A qualitative thematic analysis approach was employed to identify recurring ideas, concepts, and concerns across the included studies. An inductive coding strategy was used, allowing themes to emerge organically from the literature rather than being based on predefined categories. Initial codes were generated using open coding techniques.

Related codes were clustered and compared using the Review of Related Literature (RRL) Matrix, which enabled systematic organization and refinement of emerging patterns. The coding process underwent multiple rounds of collaborative review to ensure accuracy and depth of interpretation.

To enhance the credibility of the findings, two reviewers independently coded a subset of the articles. Inter-rater reliability was established through discussion, and any discrepancies were resolved through iterative dialogue and refinement of the coding framework. Themes were validated based on both conceptual relevance and frequency of occurrence across studies.

Following the synthesis of all thematic batches, eight major themes were finalized. These themes reflect the key domains in which Artificial Intelligence is transforming, challenging, or enriching educational practices on a global scale.

Ethical Considerations

As this study involved a review of publicly available literature, no human participants were involved; therefore, formal ethical approval was not required. Nonetheless, academic integrity was upheld by adhering to rigorous citation practices, and all sources were properly acknowledged throughout the analysis and synthesis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Personalized and Adaptive Learning Powered by AI

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into education has significantly advanced personalized and adaptive learning, reshaping traditional pedagogical models and promoting learner-centered approaches. Across the reviewed literature, AI-powered systems—such as intelligent tutoring systems, generative AI tools like ChatGPT, adaptive platforms, and virtual reality environments—have consistently demonstrated their effectiveness in tailoring instruction to individual learners' needs, preferences, and progress (Msambwa et al., 2025; Waykar & Yambal, 2025; Zhang et al., 2024).

AI applications support a spectrum of educational levels and disciplines, enhancing learning outcomes through real-time feedback, dynamic assessments, and flexible learning paths. This is evident in fields such as science and chemistry (Erümit & Sarıalioğlu, 2025), psychology (Yu et al., 2025), surgical oncology (Hu & Wu, 2025), language learning (Jose, 2025), and the creative arts (Sun et al., 2025). These tools not only promote individualized instruction but also improve collaborative learning environments and student motivation (Matos et al., 2025; Msambwa et al., 2025).

The concept of adaptive learning, which is central to both Education 4.0 and 5.0 paradigms, has been reinforced by AI technologies capable of monitoring learners' behavior and adjusting content delivery accordingly (Rane et al., 2023; Dogan et al., 2023). AI-supported education increasingly relies on data analytics, natural language processing, and machine learning to inform instructional decisions, provide feedback, and forecast academic trajectories (Lin et al., 2023; Tapalova & Zhiyenbayeva, 2022).

However, the transformative potential of AI is tempered by recurring concerns regarding its use. Ethical issues, such as algorithmic bias, data privacy, potential erosion of teacher-student relationships, and unequal distribution of digital infrastructure, pose significant challenges (Erümit & Sarıalioğlu, 2025; Hachoumi et al., 2025; Wang et al., 2024). Studies have stressed the necessity of enhancing institutional preparedness and teacher training to fully harness AI's capabilities in educational settings (Jose, 2025; Yeung et al., 2025; Msambwa et al., 2025).

AI presents vast opportunities for revolutionizing education by enabling personalized, adaptive, and inclusive learning environments. Its successful implementation requires a balanced, ethical, and human-centered approach. Future research should prioritize the development of robust ethical frameworks, longitudinal evaluations of learning outcomes, and scalable strategies to support educators and institutions in the responsible use of AI technology.

Student-AI Collaboration and Interaction Models

The growing integration of AI in education has shifted the focus from mere automation to more dynamic and interactive partnerships between students and AI systems. The literature consistently emphasizes that AI is not merely a tool but increasingly a collaborator—one that enhances personalized feedback, supports communication, fosters collective intelligence, and empowers student agency in the learning process (Msambwa et al., 2025; Singh et al., 2025; Yeung et al., 2025; Kim et al., 2022).

Studies have demonstrated that AI facilitates both individualized and collaborative learning environments, enhancing student-AI and student-student interaction through tools such as chatbots, virtual mentors, immersive platforms, and intelligent tutoring systems (Casebourne et al., 2024; Wang, 2024; Azap, 2025). These technologies not only improve learner-content engagement but also offer scaffolding

for skills development, motivation, and communication, particularly in complex disciplines such as nursing and the arts (Yeung et al., 2025; Wang, 2024).

The role of AI in collaborative settings also presents tensions regarding trust, authority, and social norms. Although students benefit from enhanced feedback, guidance, and personalized support, concerns about surveillance, academic integrity, and the potential erosion of human interaction persist (Singh et al., 2025; Stöhr et al., 2024; Slimi, 2023). Variability in student acceptance across gender, discipline, and academic levels further underscores the importance of a contextualized and inclusive design (Stöhr et al., 2024).

Frameworks such as the three paradigms of AI in education—AI-directed, AI-supported, and AI-empowered learning—highlight the trajectory toward greater learner autonomy and agency (Ouyang and Jiao, 2021). Similarly, models of student-AI collaboration suggest that learning evolves from learning about AI to learning with AI and ultimately to co-learning in a shared environment (Kim et al., 2022). These models align with emerging theories of AI-mediated Collective Intelligence, where AI contributes to group formation, task orchestration, and collaborative reasoning (Casebourne et al., 2024).

AI is becoming a partner in the educational journey, augmenting rather than replacing human interaction. Future research and policy must prioritize ethical design, cultural responsiveness, and co-development of interaction frameworks that enable safe, equitable, and meaningful collaboration between students and AI systems.

Ethical and Responsible Use of AI in Education

As AI technologies become increasingly embedded in educational ecosystems, the imperative to ensure their ethical and responsible deployment has become more urgent. The reviewed literature underscores that while AI holds transformative potential, it also introduces a host of ethical challenges, including data privacy, algorithmic bias, surveillance, intellectual property, academic integrity, and disparities in access (Kraemer et al., 2025; Qanbari et al., 2025; Zhao et al., 2025).

Key concerns center on the opacity of AI decision-making processes, which can undermine transparency and trust in educational settings. Researchers have argued for the integration of explainable AI (XAI) and for increasing stakeholder awareness regarding how AI systems make pedagogical or evaluative decisions (Kraemer et al., 2025; Wang et al., 2025). Students and educators often lack a clear understanding of AI's capabilities and limitations, prompting calls for AI literacy and digital ethics education as foundational components of 21st-century learning (Toquero, 2025; Wang et al., 2025).

Institutional readiness and governance frameworks remain uneven across educational sectors, with many schools and universities deploying AI tools without adequate policy guidance or safeguards (Qanbari et al., 2025; see also Young et al., 2025). The lack of standardized ethical protocols contributes to inconsistencies in how AI is evaluated and integrated, increasing risks of harm or inequity. This is especially critical in diverse global contexts where resource limitations can exacerbate ethical vulnerabilities (Toquero, 2025; Zhao et al., 2025).

An emerging discourse surrounds the ethical design of AI tools. Scholars advocate for participatory, human-centered design processes that involve educators, learners, and technologists to ensure that AI aligns with pedagogical values and social justice principles (Young et al., 2025; Zawacki-Richter & Jung, 2025). The literature highlights the importance of moving from reactive to proactive strategies and embedding ethical foresight into every phase of AI adoption, from procurement and deployment to monitoring and evaluation.

In conclusion, the ethical and responsible use of AI in education requires a multi-stakeholder systemic approach that balances innovation with accountability. Future research should focus on developing adaptable governance models, global ethical standards, and cross-disciplinary collaborations that protect the rights, dignity, and autonomy of all educational stakeholders.

Educator Roles and Professional Development in AIEd

The literature on AI-driven assessment and learning analytics reveals a significant shift in the manner in which feedback, evaluation, and performance monitoring are conducted in education. AI is no longer a peripheral aid but a core analytical engine that enables personalized, timely, and scalable insights into learner behavior and academic progress (Chiu & Chai, 2025). Through intelligent data processing, systems now offer adaptive feedback and early warning signals, fostering not only cognitive improvement but also learner motivation and engagement (Lim et al., 2025; El-Sayed & Yehia, 2025).

Several studies have shown how AI enables automated assessment and formative feedback, especially in writing and online discussion forums. AI-powered tools improve students' revision practices and provide consistent feedback that is often more immediate than human input (Hwa Chong Lim et al., 2025; El-Sayed & Yehia, 2025). Natural language processing techniques allow educators to analyze interaction patterns, predict learning outcomes, and assess emotional or motivational states, enabling more holistic pedagogical decisions (Ali Houssam & Osama Fathi, 2025; Aydın & Kaya, 2025).

Learning analytics also plays a vital role in predictive modeling, using behavioral data to anticipate student success, dropout risk, and engagement trends. For instance, machine learning models have demonstrated accuracy in forecasting academic performance and identifying at-risk learners in higher education (Mansour Alsamawi & Alnaqeib, 2025; Salimi et al., 2025). These capabilities empower institutions to shift from reactive to proactive strategies, offering interventions before problems escalate.

Studies advocate for learner-facing analytics, such as interactive dashboards that visualize learning progress, provide self-assessment tools, and encourage self-regulation (Yağmur & Can, 2025). These systems cultivate a feedback-rich environment in which students actively engage in their own learning trajectories. Collaborative analytics, in which both instructors and students interpret data together, have also shown promise in promoting deeper metacognition and co-responsibility for learning (Suárez-Álvarez et al., 2025).

However, the growing adoption of AI in assessments raises critical questions regarding fairness, transparency, and accountability. Researchers have emphasized the need for human oversight, bias mitigation strategies, and ethical frameworks to guide data collection and interpretation (Manouselis et al., 2025). While the promise of AI-enhanced assessment is immense, its implementation must prioritize ethical data use, contextual relevance, and learner trust.

AI is transforming assessment from a static, summative practice into a dynamic, formative, and learner-centric process. As these technologies evolve, responsible design and inclusive policy development must ensure that AI enhances—not replaces—the educator's role in fostering meaningful, equitable feedback and learning.

AI as a New Discipline and Learning Subject

The reviewed literature affirms that Artificial Intelligence (AI) is rapidly evolving from a technological tool into a disciplinary subject essential to 21st-century education. At various levels—K–12, higher education, and teacher training—AI is increasingly being positioned not just as content to be applied, but as knowledge to be critically understood, taught, and ethically managed.

At the K–12 level, there is growing momentum for integrating AI literacy into curricula worldwide, despite challenges such as a lack of teacher preparedness and curricular frameworks (Akgun & Greenhow, 2023; Ng et al., 2023; Chiu, 2021; Ng et al., 2021). Studies emphasize the use of collaborative, project-based pedagogies, the importance of ethical reasoning, and the need for modular and personalized curricula (Ng et al., 2023; Casal-Otero et al., 2023). Global initiatives and stakeholder insights further emphasize accessibility, relevance, and equitable outcomes, especially in under-resourced regions (Sanusi et al., 2024; Yeter et al., 2024).

In higher education, AI is increasingly viewed as a core subject in fields such as medicine and computer science. However, a standardized curriculum is still lacking. In medical education, for example, AI is largely being integrated as a tool to foster digital competence, ethical literacy, and interdisciplinary collaboration; however, institutional and regional disparities hinder its widespread adoption (Hernández Rincón et al., 2025). In computing education, generative AI (GenAI) tools are gaining traction for reshaping both introductory and advanced courses, although concerns remain regarding their misuse and performance impacts (Agbo et al., 2025; Diloy et al., 2023).

Teacher preparedness is another key dimension of this study. Rahimi (2025) introduced a validated framework for AI Language Teaching based on design thinking, demonstrating how educators must acquire competencies in empathy, ideation, prototyping, and testing to effectively teach with AI. This aligns with Allen and Kendeou's (2023) interdisciplinary ED-AI literature framework, which highlights essential dimensions of AI literacy, such as autonomy, contextualization, and ethical awareness.

Broader frameworks and visual mappings of AI in education reveal distinct research clusters—particularly in medical education, general pedagogy, and GenAI applications—which signal the multidimensional nature of AI as a discipline (Qin & Zhang, 2024). Applied research also explores how specific platforms, such as Century Tech or Neuromation, facilitate AI-based adaptive learning, although data constraints remain a barrier to deep neural network training (Shashkova et al., 2024).

Stakeholder perspectives are indispensable in this regard. Sanusi et al. (2024) show how the alignment of teachers', students', and policymakers' conceptions of AI can shape effective curriculum implementation. Similarly, Diloy et al. (2023) provide institutional insights into how universities are adapting their pedagogies and assessment strategies to ensure responsible and confident AI use by students.

This body of work illustrates the emergence of AI not only as a pedagogical tool but also as a disciplinary foundation that intersects with ethics, equity, teacher training, and digital skills. Future work must prioritize cross-sectoral collaboration, localized curricular design, and ethical stewardship to ensure that AI plays a transformative and inclusive role in global education.

National AI Policies and the Role of Education

The interplay between national AI policy frameworks and educational systems is becoming increasingly significant, shaping the introduction, teaching, and governance of artificial intelligence across global learning environments. The reviewed studies collectively suggest that while policy discourse recognizes AI's economic potential, it often lags in addressing its educational, ethical, and human-centered implications.

Several studies emphasize the absence of an explicit focus on AI in Education (AIED) within national strategies. Schiff (2021) found that although over 30 nations have released national AI strategies, most view education merely as a tool for workforce development rather than a domain requiring ethical and pedagogical oversight. This misalignment reinforces the gap between AI's rapid technological growth and the slower adaptation of education systems (Pham & Sampson, 2022), with corporate-driven adoption often outpacing institutional readiness and ethical safeguards.

Emerging efforts have addressed this gap. For instance, Chan (2023) introduced an AI Ecological Education Policy Framework that integrates pedagogical, governance, and operational dimensions for university education. This framework provides a comprehensive model for implementing AI responsibly in academic contexts, addressing issues of training, privacy, infrastructure, and accountability. Similarly, Xu et al. (2025) proposed a standardized framework for Artificial General Intelligence Models in Education (AGIME), stressing the need for regulatory specifications across trustworthiness, ethics, security, and pedagogy.

The acceptability of AI technologies in education also hinges on stakeholder trust and values. Karran et al. (2025) demonstrate through a short story or example used to show a real-life situation that students, teachers, and parents evaluate AI use through lenses of justice, privacy, transparency, and

perceived utility, revealing divergent levels of trust and readiness. This underscores the need for inclusive policymaking that captures diverse perspectives.

Case studies from individual countries provide additional context. In the Philippines, Estrellado and Miranda (2023) stressed the importance of infrastructure, resources, faculty training, and ethical clarity for AIED to succeed within a policy framework. In Nigeria, Bali et al. (2024) observed the widespread use of basic AI tools in education but also a lack of adoption of more advanced systems, urging local institutions to learn from global best practices to bridge this innovation gap. Similarly, Yeter et al. (2024) called for universal AI literacy programs at the elementary level, citing hands-on, collaborative learning as critical to achieving equitable global AI education.

Within higher education, AI is increasingly associated with sustainability and innovation. Bagherimajd and Khajedad (2025) developed a model for AI-based sustainable education, identifying seven key domains—from organizational structures to curriculum and global citizenship—that must align for AI to support long-term societal goals. Polat et al. (2025) further show that AI literacy positively influences sustainable behaviors and entrepreneurial orientation in teacher candidates, emphasizing AI's broader role in responsible development.

Institutions such as Stanford University exemplify how educational leadership and policy innovation can shape AI learning ecosystems. Lu (2025) highlights Stanford's interdisciplinary approach and its partnerships with the industry, showcasing the institution's influence in integrating inclusive and ethical AI education through initiatives like AI4ALL and the SAIL-Toyota Center.

The reviewed literature highlights the critical need for alignment between national AI policies and educational ecosystems. Effective AI governance must go beyond economic utility to include ethical standards, teacher preparation, stakeholder inclusion, and equitable access to AI tools. Moving forward, collaborative policymaking informed by empirical research, such as that reviewed here, will be essential for designing AI education frameworks that are globally relevant, locally responsive, and ethically sound.

Societal, Political, and Economic Implications of AI in Education

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into educational systems worldwide holds immense promise for innovation, sustainability, and the democratization of learning. It also introduces a complex matrix of societal, political, and economic implications that must be critically examined by researchers.

Several studies underscore the potential of AI to contribute to sustainable education models, positioning AI not merely as a tool but as a transformative force that can enhance human capital, promote creativity, and support lifelong learning (Bagherimajd & Khajedad, 2025). AI-driven education has been shown to affect curriculum design, organizational structures, and international communication, offering opportunities and systemic challenges that require thoughtful governance.

Politically, the adoption of AI in education raises questions regarding who controls educational innovation and policy direction. Pham (2025) highlights the tensions between rapid technological change and long-term educational values, noting that education policy is increasingly influenced by market-driven interests that may diverge from inclusive and equitable goals. This aligns with the findings of Bergviken Rensfeldt and Rahm (2022), who argued that the automation of teacher labor is often positioned as inevitable, overshadowing important debates about teacher agency, equity, and public education.

Economically, AI has the potential to enhance administrative efficiency and drive evidence-based policies through large-scale data mining (Wang, 2021). Techno-solutionism and inequitable access to technology remain persistent threats to equitable development in education. Bulathwela et al. (2024) warn that without intentional inclusivity and investment in open-source, participatory platforms, AI may exacerbate educational inequalities, especially for under-resourced communities. This concern is echoed by Julien (2024), who emphasizes both the promise of AI for inclusive education and the dangers of deepening digital divides without supportive infrastructure or pedagogy.

Globally, policy frameworks still fall short of recognizing the full impact of AI on education. Schiff (2021) revealed that while many national AI strategies focus on workforce development, they often neglect the ethical and pedagogical implications of using AI in educational contexts. Similarly, Selwyn (2022) urges caution, warning against over-reliance on AI systems and the environmental, ethical, and social consequences of unchecked technological adoption.

Culturally specific studies reveal nuanced intersections between AI, national identity, and educational values. Mäki-Kullas (2024) explored the role of AI in career planning in Bangladesh, emphasizing the need for cultural competency in AI tools. Li (2024) demonstrated the utility of AI in supporting multicultural education through data-informed ideological and political learning platforms, enhancing cross-cultural competence in higher education.

The global reaction to generative AI tools such as ChatGPT shows a wide range of perceptions, from concerns about academic integrity to optimism about AI as an intelligent learning partner (Fütterer et al., 2023). This public discourse is not just a response to new technologies but also reflects broader societal anxieties and aspirations regarding the future of education.

While AI has the power to revolutionize education, this transformation is not neutral. It is shaped by political agendas, economic pressures and societal values. To ensure that AI serves the public good, education stakeholders must advocate for transparent, inclusive, and ethically grounded AI governance, with deliberate efforts to reduce inequality, protect human agency, and prioritize sustainable and culturally responsive education systems.

Paradigms and Future Directions of AIED

Across the literature, there is a consensus that teacher education and professional development are fundamental to the responsible and effective integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in educational settings. As AI tools continue to permeate classrooms, educators must be equipped not only to use these technologies but to understand their implications, challenge their limitations, and co-design meaningful applications (Allen & Kendeou, 2023; Cheng et al., 2022; Cui et al., 2024).

Several studies emphasize the urgent need for AI literacy in preservice teacher training. Yildiz et al. (2023) found that participation in AI-focused courses led to significant improvements in preservice teachers' self-efficacy, awareness of ethical considerations, and understanding of AI's pedagogical potential. However, many teachers still feel unprepared: Pham et al. (2024) observed that while Vietnamese preservice teachers were generally curious about AI, they lacked formal knowledge and relied on informal digital sources for understanding. Likewise, Alzahrani et al. (2023) reported that prospective English teachers in multilingual Saudi classrooms had limited practical experience with AI and primarily learned about it through social media and online videos, pointing to the absence of structured teacher preparation programs.

Innovative frameworks are emerging to fill this gap. Allen and Kendeou's (2023) ED-AI Lit framework highlights autonomy, contextualization, and ethical responsibility as foundational pillars of teacher AI literacy. Similarly, Rahimi (2025) proposes a design thinking-based AI language education framework that empowers teachers to move beyond passive use and engage in empathy-driven ideation and prototyping. These models signal a shift toward a more creative and critical teacher identity in AI-enhanced education.

Professional development is equally critical for in-service educators, many of whom face systemic constraints. Conde et al. (2024), in their large-scale study across Spain, reported that teachers were generally optimistic about AI's potential for personalization but were also concerned about ethical risks, algorithmic bias, and the erosion of their pedagogical autonomy. These concerns are mirrored in Nigeria, where Bali et al. (2024) found that although teachers widely used basic AI tools like chatbots, their limited awareness of advanced AI systems and lack of institutional support hindered broader adoption. Gong et al. (2023) add

that Chinese educators often view AI as both promising and unsettling—citing its potential to improve teaching efficiency but also its implications for fairness, workload, and role displacement.

Addressing these concerns requires more than tool training. Cheng et al. (2022) argue for holistic AI literacy, integrating computational thinking, ethics, and data agency. They propose a teacher-AI co-agency model, where educators maintain pedagogical control while collaborating with AI to support student learning. This vision is crucial in light of studies like Cui et al. (2024), which reveal that platforms like Squirrel AI may lead to increased student dependence and reduced learner agency—outcomes that teachers must be prepared to recognize and mitigate.

Despite varied geographic contexts, the reviewed studies converge on a shared insight: AI-related teacher development must be formalized, multidimensional, and equity-driven. In Vietnam (Pham et al., 2024), Saudi Arabia (Alzahrani et al., 2023), Nigeria (Bali et al., 2024), China (Gong et al., 2023; Cui et al., 2024), Spain (Conde et al., 2024), and beyond, educators are expressing both excitement and concern. Without structured support, teachers risk being sidelined by rapidly advancing technologies they neither trust nor fully understand.

To navigate this future, teacher education must move beyond tool fluency to include critical data literacy, algorithmic accountability, ethical judgment, and interdisciplinary collaboration (Cheng et al., 2022; Allen & Kendeou, 2023). Institutions must also prioritize culturally responsive pedagogy, tailored to local infrastructure and linguistic realities (Alzahrani et al., 2023; Bali et al., 2024). Whether through formal coursework, design-thinking models, or policy-aligned professional development, teachers must be treated as active agents in shaping AI-enhanced education, not passive recipients.

Empowering educators to thrive in the age of AI requires a paradigm shift: from technical skill-building to critical engagement; from isolated training to systemic reform; and from technology-centered approaches to human-centered pedagogy. This transformation is not optional—it is essential for equitable, ethical, and sustainable education in an AI-driven world.

CONCLUSION

This review synthesizes key developments in Artificial Intelligence in Education (AIED), drawing from 100 peer-reviewed studies published between 2021 and 2025. Eight major themes emerged, capturing how AI is reshaping educational systems across diverse global contexts. These themes include: personalized and adaptive learning powered by AI; student-AI collaboration and interaction models; ethical and responsible use of AI in education; educator roles and professional development in AIED; AI as a new discipline and learning subject; national AI policies and the role of education; societal, political, and economic implications of AI in education; and paradigms and future directions of AIED.

The findings highlight AI's potential to enhance personalization, scalability, and real-time feedback in learning environments. At the same time, they expose critical tensions related to algorithmic bias, unequal access, and the potential deskilling of educators. These insights emphasize the need for AIED implementation that is pedagogically sound, ethically responsible, and human-centered.

Future research should investigate the long-term effects of AIED on student learning outcomes, teacher agency, and policy development—especially in underserved or low-resource contexts. As AI continues to evolve, this review underscores the urgent need for interdisciplinary collaboration and proactive governance to ensure that AI contributes meaningfully, equitably, and responsibly to the future of education.

Recommendations

Based on the synthesis of 100 peer-reviewed studies on Artificial Intelligence in Education (AIED) from 2021 to 2025, the following recommendations are proposed for educators, policymakers, researchers, and technology developers:

1. Invest in Teacher Capacity and AI Pedagogy

Education systems should prioritize sustained professional development to equip teachers with the skills and confidence to integrate AI meaningfully. Training must go beyond technical tool use and focus on critical AI literacy, instructional design, and ethical implementation.

2. Establish Ethical and Regulatory Frameworks

To address risks such as algorithmic bias, surveillance, and data privacy violations, educational institutions and governments must adopt clear, enforceable guidelines for the ethical use of AI in schools. These frameworks should promote transparency, accountability, and data protection.

3. Promote Inclusive and Equitable Access

Policymakers and technology providers should address disparities in infrastructure, digital literacy, and access to AI-powered tools—especially in underserved or marginalized communities. Equity must be a core principle in all AIED initiatives.

4. Design Student-Centered AI Systems

AI applications should be co-developed with input from educators and learners to ensure alignment with pedagogical goals. These systems must support—not replace—student agency, critical thinking, and collaborative learning.

5. Support Ongoing Interdisciplinary Research

There is a need for longitudinal, cross-contextual research on the impacts of AIED on learning outcomes, teacher roles, curriculum evolution, and broader sociocultural dynamics. Collaboration among educators, technologists, ethicists, and social scientists is essential.

6. Encourage Responsible Innovation

Developers should adopt human-centered design practices that foreground educational value, inclusivity, and explainability. Innovations in generative AI and adaptive learning must be continuously evaluated in real-world educational settings.

7. Embed AI Literacy in Curriculum

AI literacy should be integrated as a core competency across all levels and disciplines. Students must learn not only how to use AI tools, but also how to critically assess their limitations, biases, and broader societal implications.

By adopting these recommendations, stakeholders can help ensure that AIED is harnessed to enrich learning experiences, empower educators, and foster a more just, inclusive, and forward-looking education system.

REFERENCES

- Adiguzel, T., Kaya, M. H., & Cansu, F. K. (2023). Revolutionizing education with AI: Exploring the transformative potential of ChatGPT. *Contemporary Educational Technology*, 15(3), Article ep429. <https://doi.org/10.30935/cedtech/13152>
- Agbo, F. J., Olivia, C., Oguike, G., Sanusi, I. T., & Sani, G. (2025). Computing education using generative artificial intelligence tools: A systematic literature review. *Computers and Education Open*, 9, Article 100266. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeo.2025.100266>
- Akgun, S., & Greenhow, C. (2022). Artificial intelligence in education: Addressing ethical challenges in K-12 settings. *AI and Ethics*, 2(3), 431–440. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-021-00096-7>
- Al-Zahrani, A. M. (2024). Unveiling the shadows: Beyond the hype of AI in education. *Heliyon*, 10(9), Article e30696. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e30696>
- Ali, I., Modibbo, U. M., Bolaji, A. L., & Garg, H. (Eds.). (2024). *Optimization and computing using intelligent data-driven approaches for decision-making: Optimization applications* (1st ed.). CRC Press. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003536796>
- Allen, L. K., & Kendeou, P. (2024). ED-AI lit: An interdisciplinary framework for AI literacy in education. *Policy Insights from the Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 11(1), 18–25. <https://doi.org/10.1177/23727322231220339>

- Asio, J. M., & Soriano, I. D. (2025). The state of artificial intelligence (AI) use in higher education institutions (HEIs) in the Philippines. In F. Mobo (Ed.), *Impacts of AI on students and teachers in Education 5.0* (pp. 523–552). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-8191-5.ch019>
- Azap, S. (2024). The role of artificial intelligence in language teacher education: EFL learners' views on the concept of "ChatGPT" through metaphorical analysis. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 11, Article 101394. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2025.101394>
- Bagherimajd, K., & Khajedad, K. (2025). Designing a model of sustainable education based on artificial intelligence in higher education. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 9, Article 100439. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2025.100439>
- Bali, B., Garba, E. J., Ahmadu, A. S., & Ishak, A. M. (2024). Analysis of emerging trends in artificial intelligence for education in Nigeria. *Discover Artificial Intelligence*, 4(1), Article 110. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44163-024-00163-y>
- Bhimdiwala, A., Neri, R. C., & Gomez, L. M. (2022). Advancing the design and implementation of artificial intelligence in education through continuous improvement. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 32(3), 756–782. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-021-00278-8>
- Boulhrir, T., & Hamash, M. (2025). Unpacking artificial intelligence in elementary education: A comprehensive thematic analysis systematic review. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 9, Article 100442. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2025.100442>
- Bulathwela, S., Holloway, C., & Cukurova, M. (2023). Artificial intelligence alone will not democratise education: On educational inequality, techno-solutionism and inclusive tools. *Sustainability*, 16(2), Article 781. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16020781>
- Casebourne, I., Shi, S., Hogan, M., & Baker, M. (2024). Using AI to support education for collective intelligence. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-024-00437-7>
- Catala, A., Taboada, M., Cebreiro, B., & Barro, S. (2023). AI literacy in K-12: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 10(1), Article 41. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-023-00418-7>
- Cengiz, S., & Peker, A. (2025). Generative artificial intelligence acceptance and artificial intelligence anxiety among university students: The sequential mediating role of attitudes toward artificial intelligence and literacy. *Current Psychology*, 44(9), 7991–8000. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-025-07433-7>
- Chan, C. K. Y. (2023). A comprehensive AI policy education framework for university teaching and learning. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 20(1), Article 38. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00408-3>
- Cheah, Y. H., Lu, J., & Kim, J. (2025). Integrating generative artificial intelligence in K-12 education: Examining teachers' preparedness, practices, and barriers. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 8, Article 100363. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2025.100363>
- Chiu, T. K. F. (2021). A holistic approach to the design of artificial intelligence (AI) education for K-12 schools. *TechTrends*, 65(5), 796–807. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-021-00637-1>
- Crompton, H., & Burke, D. (2023). Artificial intelligence in higher education: The state of the field. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 20(1), Article 22. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00392-8>
- Cukurova, M. (2024). *The interplay of learning, analytics, and artificial intelligence in education: A vision for hybrid intelligence* (arXiv:2403.16081). arXiv. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.16081>
- Dignum, V. (2021). The role and challenges of education for responsible AI. *London Review of Education*, 19(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.14324/lre.19.1.01>
- Diloy, M. A., Comparativo, C. P. E., Reyes, J. C. T., Eusebio, B. J. M., & Morona, L. I. C. (2023). Exploring the landscape of AI tools in student learning: An analysis of commonly utilized AI tools at a university in the Philippines. In *Proceedings of the 35th Annual Workshop of the Psychology of Programming Interest Group (PPIG 2023)*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3639592.3639629>
- Dissanayake, H., Manta, O., Iddagoda, A., & Palazzo, M. (2025). Artificial intelligence and management education: Bibliometric analysis. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 23(3), Article 101222. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2025.101222>
- Doğan, E., & Şahin, F. (2024). Advances in artificial intelligence in education: Leading contributors, current hot topics, and emerging trends. *Participatory Educational Research*, 11(H. Ferhan Odabaşı Gift Issue), 95–113. <https://doi.org/10.17275/per.24.96.11.6>
- Dogan, M. E., Goru Dogan, T., & Bozkurt, A. (2023). The use of artificial intelligence (AI) in online learning and distance education processes: A systematic review of empirical studies. *Applied Sciences*, 13(5), Article 3056. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app13053056>

- Doroudi, S. (2023). The intertwined histories of artificial intelligence and education. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 33(4), 885–928. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-022-00313-2>
- Ekin, C. C., Cantekin, Ö. F., Polat, E., & Zincirli, M. (2025). Artificial intelligence in education: A text mining-based review of the past 56 years. *Education and Information Technologies*, 30(2), 11971–12013. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-13225-6>
- Erümit, A. K., & Sarıaloğlu, R. Ö. (2025). Artificial intelligence in science and chemistry education: A systematic review. *Discover Education*, 4(1), Article 178. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44217-025-00622-3>
- Estrellado, C. J. P., & Miranda, J. C. (2023). Artificial intelligence in the Philippine educational context: Circumspection and future inquiries. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, 13(5), 452–458. <http://dx.doi.org/10.29322/IJSRP.13.05.2023.p13704>
- Feng, S., & Law, N. (2021). Mapping artificial intelligence in education research: A network-based keyword analysis. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 31(2), 277–303. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-021-00244-4>
- Fu, Y., Weng, Z., & Wang, J. (2024). Examining AI use in educational contexts: A scoping meta-review and bibliometric analysis. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-024-00442-w>
- Fütterer, T., Fischer, C., Alekseeva, A., Chen, X., Tate, T., Warschauer, M., & Gerjets, P. (2023). ChatGPT in education: Global reactions to AI innovations. *Scientific Reports*, 13(1), Article 15310. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-42227-6>
- Ghiasvand, F., & Seyri, H. (2025). A collaborative reflection on the synergy of artificial intelligence (AI) and language teacher identity reconstruction. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 160, Article 105022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2025.105022>
- Giray, L., De Silos, P. Y., Adornado, A., Buelo, R. J. V., Galas, E., Reyes-Chua, E., & Ulanday, M. L. (2024). Use and impact of artificial intelligence in Philippine higher education: Reflections from instructors and administrators. *Internet Reference Services Quarterly*, 28(3), 315–338. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10875301.2024.2352746>
- Hachoumi, N., Eddabbah, M., & El Adib, A. R. (2025). Enhancing teaching and learning in health sciences education through the integration of Bloom's taxonomy and artificial intelligence. *Informatics and Health*, 2(2), 130–136. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infoh.2025.05.002>
- Harry, A. (2023). Role of AI in education. *Injury: International Journal of Law and Jurisprudence*, 2(3), Article 52. <https://doi.org/10.58631/injury.v2i3.52>
- Harte, M., Carey, B., Feng, Q. J., Alqarni, A., & Albuquerque, R. (2024). Transforming undergraduate dental education: The impact of artificial intelligence. *British Dental Journal*, 238(1), 57–60. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41415-024-7788-7>
- Holmes, W., & Tuomi, I. (2022). State of the art and practice in AI in education. *European Journal of Education*, 57(4), 542–570. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejed.12532>
- Hu, H., & Wu, Z. (2025). A narrative review of artificial intelligence in medical education of surgical oncology. *Indian Journal of Surgery*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12262-025-04365-1>
- Ioanid, A., & Andrei, N. (2025). ChatGPT in education: Challenges in local knowledge representation of Romanian history and geography. *Education Sciences*, 15(4), Article 511. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15040511>
- Jose, L. (2025). *Harnessing artificial intelligence for language learning: A mixed-methods study on ChatGPT integration in secondary education* (Version 1) [Preprint]. Research Square. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-5703952/v1>
- Julien, G. (2024). How artificial intelligence (AI) impacts inclusive education. *Educational Research and Reviews*, 19(6), 95–103. <https://doi.org/10.5897/err2024.4404>
- Karran, A. J., Charland, P., Lesage, A., Sénécal, S., & Léger, P. (2025). Multi-stakeholder perspective on responsible artificial intelligence and acceptability in education. *Npj Science of Learning*, 10(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41539-025-00333-2>
- Kim, J., Lee, H., & Cho, Y. H. (2022). Learning design to support student-AI collaboration: Perspectives of leading teachers for AI in education. *Education and Information Technologies*, 27(5), 6069–6104. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10831-6>
- Kramm, N., & McKenna, S. (2023). AI amplifies the tough question: What is higher education really for? *Teaching in Higher Education*, 28(8), 2173–2178. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2023.2263839>
- Kurata, L., Ayanwale, M. A., Molefi, R. R., & Sanni, T. (2025). Teaching religious studies with artificial intelligence: A qualitative analysis of Lesotho secondary schools teachers' perceptions. *International Journal of Educational Research Open*, 8, Article 100417. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedro.2024.100417>

- Lee, G., Yun, M., Zhai, X., & Kim, M. (2025). Artificial intelligence in science education research: Current states and challenges. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-025-10239-8>
- Li, H. (2025). Multicultural data assistance mining analysis for ideological and political education in smart education platforms using artificial intelligence. *Wireless Networks*, 31(1), 567–581. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11276-024-03772-8>
- Lim, E. M. (2024). Metaphor analysis on pre-service early childhood teachers' conception of AI (Artificial Intelligence) education for young children. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 51, Article 101455. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2023.101455>
- Lin, Y., Luo, Q., & Qian, Y. (2023). Investigation of artificial intelligence algorithms in education. *Advances in Educational Technology and Psychology*, 16, 886–892. <https://doi.org/10.54254/2755-2721/16/20230886>
- Liu, X., & Zhong, B. (2024). A systematic review on how educators teach AI in K-12 education. *Educational Research Review*, 45, Article 100642. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2024.100642>
- Lu, C. A. (2025). Tracing the evolution of artificial intelligence education at Stanford University. *TechTrends*, 69(3), 447–453. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-025-01046-4>
- Martínez-Moreno, J., & Petko, D. (2024). What motivates future teachers? The influence of Artificial Intelligence on student teachers' career choice. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 7, Article 100296. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2024.100296>
- Mazi, A., & Yıldırım, İ. O. (2024). Primary school teachers' opinions on the use of artificial intelligence in educational practices. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 11, Article 101576. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2025.101576>
- McGrath, C., Cerratto Pargman, T., Juth, N., & Palmgren, P. J. (2022). University teachers' perceptions of responsibility and artificial intelligence in higher education - An experimental philosophical study. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 4, Article 100139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2023.100139>
- Msambwa, M. M., Wen, Z., & Daniel, K. (2025). The impact of AI on the personal and collaborative learning environments in higher education. *European Journal of Education*, 60(1), Article e12909. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejed.12909>
- Ng, D. T. K., Su, J., Leung, J. K. L., & Chu, S. K. W. (2023). Artificial intelligence (AI) literacy education in secondary schools: A review. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 32(10), 6204–6224. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2023.2255228>
- Onesi-Ozigagun, O., Ololade, Y. J., Eyo-Udo, N. L., & Ogundipe, D. O. (2024). Revolutionizing education through AI: A comprehensive review of enhancing learning experiences. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Social Sciences*, 6(4), Article 1011. <https://doi.org/10.51594/ijarss.v6i4.1011>
- Ouyang, F., & Jiao, P. (2020). Artificial intelligence in education: The three paradigms. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 2, Article 100020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2021.100020>
- Panday-Shukla, P. (2025). Exploring generative artificial intelligence in teacher education. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 165, Article 105088. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2025.105088>
- Pham, S. T. (2025). An empirical investigation of the experience of educators and politicians with the emergence of artificial intelligence in education. *Technology in Society*, 83, Article 102965. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2025.102965>
- Pham, S. T., & Sampson, P. M. (2022). The development of artificial intelligence in education: A review in context. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 38(5), 1408–1421. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12687>
- Polat, E., Zircirli, M., & Zengin, E. (2025). Examining the interaction between artificial intelligence literacy and individual entrepreneurial orientation in teacher candidates: The mediating role of sustainable development. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 23(2), Article 101156. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2025.101156>
- Qin, Q., & Zhang, S. (2025). Visualizing the knowledge mapping of artificial intelligence in education: A systematic review. *Education and Information Technologies*, 30(1), 449–483. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-13076-1>
- Rahimi, A. R. (2025). Developing and validating the scale of language teachers' design thinking competency in artificial intelligence language teaching (LTDTAILT). *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 8, Article 100420. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2025.100420>
- Rane, N., Choudhary, S., & Rane, J. (2023). *Education 4.0 and 5.0: Integrating Artificial Intelligence (AI) for personalized and adaptive learning* [Preprint]. Social Science Research Network. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4638365>
- Rensfeldt, A. B., & Rahm, L. (2023). Automating teacher work? A history of the politics of automation and artificial intelligence in education. *Postdigital Science and Education*, 5(1), 25–43. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42438-022-00344-x>

- Rincón, E. H. H., Jimenez, D., Aguilar, L. A. C., & Torres, A. (2025). Mapping the use of artificial intelligence in medical education: A scoping review. *BMC Medical Education*, 25(1), Article 526. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-025-07089-8>
- Rojas, M. P., & Chiappe, A. (2024). Artificial intelligence and digital ecosystems in education: A review. *Technology, Knowledge and Learning*, 29(4), 2153–2170. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10758-024-09732-7>
- Sanusi, I. T., Agbo, F. J., Dada, O. A., Yunusa, A. A., Aruleba, K. D., Obaido, G., Olawumi, O., & Oyelere, S. S. (2024). Stakeholders' insights on artificial intelligence education: Perspectives of teachers, students, and policymakers. *Computers and Education Open*, 7, Article 100212. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeo.2024.100212>
- Schiff, D. (2022). Education for AI, not AI for education: The role of education and ethics in national AI policy strategies. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 32(3), 527–563. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-021-00270-2>
- Selwyn, N. (2022). The future of AI and education: Some cautionary notes. *European Journal of Education*, 57(4), 620–631. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejed.12532>
- Shabur, M. A. (2024). The potential and implications of artificial intelligence in Bangladesh's early career planning education. *Discover Global Society*, 2(1), Article 50. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44282-024-00072-6>
- Shashkova, N., Chetyrbok, P., Lukyanova, Y., Kazak, A., & Sidin, M. (2025). Artificial intelligence in education and training. In M. Lapina, G. S. Prakasha, & S. Grigoriev (Eds.), *International conference on innovative approaches to the application of digital technologies in education and research: SLET 2022* (Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems, Vol. 1222, pp. 385–395). Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-78776-8_36
- Singh, A. K., Kiriti, M. K., Singh, H., & Sharma, P. (2025). Education AI: Exploring the impact of artificial intelligence on education in the digital age. *International Journal of System Assurance Engineering and Management*, 16(3), 1424–1437. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13198-025-02755-y>
- Slimi, Z. (2023). The impact of artificial intelligence on higher education: An empirical study. *European Journal of Education Studies*, 10(1), Article 17. <http://dx.doi.org/10.19044/ejes.v10no1a17>
- Sperling, K., Stenberg, C., McGrath, C., Åkerfeldt, A., Heintz, F., & Stenliden, L. (2024). In search of artificial intelligence (AI) literacy in teacher education: A scoping review. *Computers and Education Open*, 6, Article 100169. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeo.2024.100169>
- Stöhr, C., Ou, A. W., & Malmström, H. (2024). Perceptions and usage of AI chatbots among students in higher education across genders, academic levels and fields of study. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 7, Article 100259. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2024.100259>
- Suliman, Z., Mohale, N. E., Maphoto, K. B., & Molaodi, V. (2024). The interconnectedness between Ubuntu principles and generative artificial intelligence in distance higher education institutions. *Discover Education*, 3(1), Article 188. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44217-024-00289-2>
- Sun, J., Huang, X., Sun, X., Jiang, Q., Yang, C., Wei, W., Miao, W., & Gu, C. (2025). A catalyst for education? A study on the impact of artificial intelligence-assisted learning in painting courses on college students' continuous learning intention. *Acta Psychologica*, 258, Article 105130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2025.105130>
- Tan, X., Cheng, G., & Ling, M. H. (2025). Artificial intelligence in teaching and teacher professional development: A systematic review. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 8, Article 100355. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2024.100355>
- Tapalova, O., & Zhiyenbayeva, N. (2022). Artificial intelligence in education: AIED for personalised learning pathways. *Electronic Journal of e-Learning*, 20(5), 446–456. <https://doi.org/10.34190/ejel.20.5.2597>
- Thompson, R. A. M., Shah, Y. B., Aguirre, F., & Patel, S. (2025). Artificial intelligence use in medical education: Best practices and future directions. *Current Urology Reports*, 26(2), Article 45. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11934-025-01277-1>
- Walter, Y. (2024). Embracing the future of Artificial Intelligence in the classroom: The relevance of AI literacy, prompt engineering, and critical thinking in modern education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 21(1), Article 15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-024-00448-3>
- Wang, S., Wang, F., Zhu, Z., Wang, J., Tran, T., & Du, Z. (2024). Artificial intelligence in education: A systematic literature review. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 244, Article 124167. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2024.124167>
- Wang, Y. (2021). Artificial intelligence in educational leadership: A symbiotic role of human-artificial intelligence decision-making. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 59(3), 256–270. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEA-10-2020-0216>
- Wang, Z. (2024). Artificial intelligence in dance education: Using immersive technologies for teaching dance skills. *Technology in Society*, 77, Article 102579. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2024.102579>

- Waykar, Y. A., & Yambal, S. S. (2025). Transcendent artificial intelligence in education. In A. Singh & K. K. Singh (Eds.), *Multimodal generative AI* (pp. 165–178). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-96-2355-6_9
- Williamson, B. (2024). The social life of AI in education. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 34(1), 97–104. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-023-00342-5>
- Xu, Q., Wu, Y., Zheng, H., Yan, H., Wu, H., Qian, Y., Wu, Y., & Liu, B. (2025). Standardization in artificial general intelligence model for education. *Computer Standards & Interfaces*, 94, Article 104006. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csi.2025.104006>
- Xu, W., & Ouyang, F. (2022). A systematic review of AI role in the educational system based on a proposed conceptual framework. *Education and Information Technologies*, 27(3), 4195–4223. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10774-y>
- Yeter, I. H., Yang, W., & Sturgess, J. B. (2024). Global initiatives and challenges in integrating artificial intelligence literacy in elementary education: Mapping policies and empirical literature. *Future in Educational Research*, 2(4), 382–402. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fer3.59>
- Yeung, J. W. Y., Ho, K. H. M., Cheung, J., Tsang, J. T. Y., & Chong, D. K. Y. (2025). Artificial intelligence-based technology in communication training in nursing education: A scoping review. *Journal of Professional Nursing*, 59, 40–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.profnurs.2025.04.011>
- Yu, F., Zhao, Y., Xu, L., & Chen, M. (2025). Teaching psychology in era of digital intelligence: The role of artificial intelligence in knowledge-oriented and research-oriented education. *Frontiers of Digital Education*, 2(1), Article 4. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44366-025-0043-1>
- Zhang, F., Wang, X., & Zhang, X. (2025). Applications of deep learning method of artificial intelligence in education. *Education and Information Technologies*, 30(2), 1563–1587. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-12883-w>
- Zhang, K., & Aslan, A. B. (2020). AI technologies for education: Recent research & future directions. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 2, Article 100025. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeai.2021.100025>
- Zhao, C., & Yu, J. (2024). Relationship between teacher's ability model and students' behavior based on emotion-behavior relevance theory and artificial intelligence technology under the background of curriculum ideological and political education. *Learning and Motivation*, 88, Article 102040. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lmot.2024.102040>
- Zhou, Y., Thurasamy, R., Yusof, R., Zhang, P., Li, X., & Ling, S. (2025). Factors influencing innovative work behavior among teachers in the higher education sectors in China: The role of work engagement as a mediator and artificial intelligence as a moderator. *Acta Psychologica*, 258, Article 105232. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2025.105232>