ISSN: 3116-3475
International Journal
of Education, Research, and Innovation Perspectives
Volume 2 Issue 6 (June 2026)

Accounts Payable Process Efficiency and Financial Control Reliability in Corporate Finance Operations

Karen Joi A. Siclot[1*] and Glenda G. Mina
[1] *Northeastern College*
[*] *siclotk@gmail.com*, *minaglenda@yahoo.com*

Date Submitted:
April 22, 2026

Date Accepted:
May 13, 2026

Date Published:
June 11, 2026

DOI:
10.5281/zenodo.20643611

ABSTRACT

This study addressed the growing need to strengthen accounts payable operations as a control-sensitive function within corporate finance environments increasingly shaped by online transactions. It assessed the level of accounts payable process efficiency and financial control reliability and determined how specific efficiency dimensions predicted control reliability in corporate finance operations. Using a quantitative process-control predictive design, data were gathered from finance personnel directly involved in invoice processing, online payment handling, documentation review, approval monitoring, and related accounts payable activities. A validated researcher-made questionnaire was used, with excellent reliability results for accounts payable process efficiency, financial control reliability, and the overall instrument. Data were analyzed using weighted mean, standard deviation, Spearman's rank-order correlation, ordinal logistic regression, and relative importance analysis. Results showed that accounts payable process efficiency was high, with transaction accuracy rated highest and exception handling rated lowest. Financial control reliability was also high, with record accuracy emerging as the strongest dimension and audit trail dependability as the area requiring further improvement. A strong positive and significant relationship was found between accounts payable process efficiency and financial control reliability. Ordinal logistic regression further revealed that all efficiency dimensions significantly predicted control reliability, with transaction accuracy, documentation completeness, and exception handling showing the strongest effects. The findings suggest that reliable corporate financial control depends not only on formal approval procedures but also on accurate transaction review, complete documentation, systematic exception resolution, and traceable digital records. Strengthening these areas may improve payment integrity, audit readiness, and accountability in corporate finance operations.

Keywords: *accounts payable, audit trail, corporate finance, financial control, online transactions, process efficiency*

INTRODUCTION

In corporate finance operations, accounts payable is more than a routine payment function. It is one of the main points where financial accuracy, vendor accountability, cash flow discipline, internal control, and operational efficiency meet. Every supplier invoice, payment request, online transaction, approval record, and supporting document passes through a process that can either strengthen or weaken the reliability of financial control. When the accounts payable process is clear, timely, well documented, and properly authorized, it helps the organization maintain accurate financial records and protect company resources. When the process is delayed, fragmented, poorly monitored, or heavily dependent on manual checking, the same function may become a source of duplicate payments, missed obligations, weak audit trails, unauthorized transactions, and strained vendor relationships.

ISSN: 3116-3475
International Journal
of Education, Research, and Innovation Perspectives
Volume 2 Issue 6 (June 2026)

The growing use of digital platforms and online transactions has changed the way accounts payable work is performed. Corporate finance units now handle invoice validation, purchase order matching, electronic approvals, vendor communication, payment scheduling, and transaction recording through accounting systems, enterprise resource planning platforms, banking portals, and shared digital files. This shift has improved the speed and accessibility of financial work, but it has also increased the need for stronger controls over data accuracy, access rights, document completeness, approval routing, and system-based monitoring. PwC (2019) emphasized that digital technologies are reshaping control procedures, risk management, and audit practices, especially because financial controls must now operate in environments where transactions move faster and records are often created, reviewed, and approved electronically.

Accounts payable process efficiency is important because it affects both the daily workload of finance personnel and the quality of financial information used by management. An efficient accounts payable process reduces unnecessary delays, repetitive encoding, unresolved invoice exceptions, misplaced documents, and slow approval cycles. It also helps finance personnel devote more attention to review, analysis, compliance, and exception handling rather than spending most of their time on repetitive clerical work. Studies on automation in accounting have noted that robotic process automation and related digital tools are increasingly used to perform rule-based and repetitive accounting tasks, including data entry, invoice processing, reconciliation, and report preparation (Cooper et al., 2019; Kaya et al., 2019). These developments show that efficiency in accounts payable is no longer limited to speed alone, but also includes process consistency, data traceability, timely completion, and reduced exposure to human error.

At the same time, efficiency should not be pursued at the expense of financial control reliability. A process may appear fast, but if payments are approved without sufficient validation, if supporting documents are incomplete, or if system access is not properly restricted, the organization remains exposed to financial and compliance risks. Internal control systems are designed to safeguard assets, support reliable reporting, promote operational effectiveness, and ensure compliance with policies and regulations (Otoo, 2023). In the context of accounts payable, this means that controls must be able to confirm whether transactions are valid, properly authorized, accurately recorded, sufficiently documented, and paid only to legitimate vendors. The reliability of financial control therefore depends not only on written policies, but also on how consistently those policies are observed in actual payment processing.

The concern becomes more significant because accounts payable is closely linked with fraud risk and financial loss. The Association of Certified Fraud Examiners (2024) reported that occupational fraud continues to affect organizations across industries, with asset misappropriation schemes remaining common in many cases. Billing schemes, check and payment tampering, and expense-related fraud are particularly relevant to accounts payable because they involve weaknesses in payment approval, vendor verification, supporting documentation, and transaction review. These risks suggest that even ordinary payment processes require careful attention, especially in organizations where online transactions and digital approvals are already part of everyday finance operations.

Accounting information systems and internal control systems are connected to the usefulness and reliability of financial information. Silva and Pereira (2021) found that the quality of internal control systems and accounting information systems directly affects financial reporting quality, which then influences the usefulness of financial information for decision-making. This connection is important because accounts payable records eventually flow into broader financial reports, cash flow projections, expense monitoring, liability recognition, and management decisions. If accounts payable data are inaccurate, delayed, or unsupported, the effect may extend beyond the payment unit and may weaken the credibility of financial information used by managers, auditors, and other stakeholders.

Digitalization has also made the accounts payable function more dependent on the competence and judgment of finance personnel. While automation can support speed and consistency, it does not remove the need for human review, ethical responsibility, and professional judgment. Cooper et al. (2019) explained that automation in accounting is often used to streamline repetitive tasks, but its success still depends on appropriate design, monitoring, and user understanding. In actual corporate finance operations, the assistant accountant or accounts

ISSN: 3116-3475
International Journal
of Education, Research, and Innovation Perspectives
Volume 2 Issue 6 (June 2026)

payable staff remains responsible for checking whether documents are complete, amounts are correct, vendors are legitimate, approvals are proper, and payment instructions are consistent with company policy. This is especially true in online transactions where errors or unauthorized payments can be processed quickly if controls are weak or if review procedures are treated as mere routine.

For this reason, the study recognizes the practical reality that accounts payable work must satisfy two important expectations at the same time. First, the process must be efficient enough to support timely payments, smooth workflow, organized documentation, and responsive vendor transactions. Second, the same process must be reliable enough to ensure proper authorization, accurate recording, compliance with financial policies, and protection against errors or irregularities. In a corporate finance setting where online transactions are part of daily operations, these two concerns are deeply connected. A well-designed accounts payable process can improve work efficiency while also strengthening financial control reliability, but a poorly managed process can create both operational delays and control weaknesses.

This study therefore seeks to contribute to the continuing discussion on financial process improvement, accounting control, and digital finance operations. By examining the relationship between accounts payable process efficiency and financial control reliability, the study may provide evidence that can help corporate finance offices review their existing payment workflows, strengthen internal control practices, improve documentation procedures, and support more dependable online transaction management. More importantly, it places attention on the accounts payable function as a critical area of corporate finance, where ordinary processing decisions can have direct implications for financial discipline, organizational accountability, and the credibility of financial records.

Literature Review

Accounts Payable Process Efficiency

Accounts payable process efficiency refers to the ability of a finance unit to process invoices, validate supporting documents, route approvals, record liabilities, and complete payments with accuracy, timeliness, and minimal unnecessary repetition. In corporate finance operations, this efficiency is important because accounts payable work affects cash flow management, supplier trust, expense recognition, and the dependability of financial records. Cooper et al. (2019) explained that automation in accounting is commonly applied to repetitive and rule-based activities because these tasks consume time and are vulnerable to manual error when performed at high volume. In the accounts payable setting, this observation is relevant because invoice matching, data entry, approval tracking, and payment scheduling often follow structured procedures that can be improved through standardized workflows and system-supported processing. Kaya et al. (2019) also noted that robotic process automation can improve accounting systems by reducing manual workload and supporting faster transaction handling. However, efficiency in accounts payable should not be understood as speed alone. It must also involve completeness of documentation, consistency of procedures, traceability of transactions, and the ability of finance personnel to identify exceptions before payments are released.

Digitalization and Online Transactions in Finance Operations

The digitalization of finance operations has changed how accounts payable personnel perform daily work, especially in organizations that rely on online transactions, electronic approvals, banking platforms, and accounting information systems. Digital tools allow finance offices to receive invoices electronically, store supporting documents, monitor approval status, reconcile payment records, and retrieve transaction histories more easily than paper-based systems. PwC (2019) emphasized that digital finance environments require organizations to rethink internal controls because business transactions now move through technology-enabled processes where risks may arise from system access, data integrity, automated approvals, and electronic documentation. This is important for assistant accountants handling online transactions because their work depends not only on accounting knowledge but also on their ability to use financial systems responsibly. Sampaio et al. (2025) observed that digital, automated, and artificial intelligence-assisted accounting systems have become a growing area of scholarly interest, showing

ISSN: 3116-3475
International Journal
of Education, Research, and Innovation Perspectives
Volume 2 Issue 6 (June 2026)

that accounting work is increasingly shaped by technology, data processing, and system integration. In this context, online accounts payable transactions must be managed with attention to both operational convenience and control discipline, since digital speed can either support accuracy or magnify errors when safeguards are weak.

Financial Control Reliability

Financial control reliability refers to the extent to which internal controls consistently support accurate recording, proper authorization, compliance with policies, prevention of irregularities, and protection of organizational resources. In accounts payable, reliable controls are reflected in clear approval limits, segregation of duties, vendor verification, invoice matching, document review, access restrictions, audit trails, and regular reconciliation. Otoo et al. (2023) found that internal control systems contribute to organizational effectiveness through control environment, control activities, risk assessment, information and communication, and monitoring. These dimensions are directly relevant to accounts payable because each payment transaction requires a control structure that can prevent unauthorized disbursements, duplicate payments, and unsupported expenses. The Association of Certified Fraud Examiners (2024) further reported that occupational fraud continues to affect organizations across industries, with asset misappropriation schemes remaining a common concern. Billing-related schemes and payment manipulation are particularly important to accounts payable because they often exploit weaknesses in vendor records, invoice validation, approval procedures, or payment review. For this reason, financial control reliability is not merely an audit concern. It is a daily operational requirement that protects the credibility of corporate finance work.

Relationship Between Accounts Payable Efficiency and Financial Control Reliability

Accounts payable efficiency and financial control reliability are closely related because the quality of the payment process influences the strength of financial safeguards. A slow and disorganized accounts payable process can create risks such as delayed review, missing documents, repeated follow-ups, rushed approvals, and weak monitoring of outstanding obligations. At the same time, a process that is fast but poorly controlled can expose the organization to inaccurate payments, duplicate disbursements, unauthorized transactions, and unreliable financial reports. Silva and Pereira (2021) emphasized that the quality of internal control systems and accounting information systems affects the usefulness of financial information through financial reporting quality. This supports the view that accounts payable processing has wider implications beyond the payment of suppliers because the accuracy and completeness of payables data affect financial statements, cash planning, expense monitoring, and management decisions. Monteiro et al. (2022) also found that accounting information system quality and internal control system quality are important to financial information quality, particularly in contexts where organizations depend on information systems for decision-making. In corporate finance operations, this means that efficient accounts payable work should be designed together with reliable control procedures. The strongest process is one that allows transactions to move on time while still ensuring that every payment is valid, authorized, documented, and accurately recorded.

METHODS

Research Design

The study used a quantitative process-control predictive design. This design was selected because the study did not only describe the condition of accounts payable operations, but also examined how variations in process efficiency were associated with the reliability of financial controls in corporate finance work. The design treated accounts payable as an operational process where workflow timeliness, document completeness, approval movement, transaction accuracy, and exception handling could influence the dependability of control practices. Unlike a purely descriptive or simple correlational approach, the process-control predictive design allowed the researcher to determine which aspects of accounts payable efficiency contributed most strongly to financial control

ISSN: 3116-3475
International Journal
of Education, Research, and Innovation Perspectives
Volume 2 Issue 6 (June 2026)

reliability. This was appropriate for a corporate finance setting because the study focused on actual work procedures, online transaction handling, control checkpoints, and the quality of payment-related documentation.

Research Locale

The study was conducted in a corporate finance operations setting where accounts payable functions were performed as part of the organization's financial management system. The locale involved finance personnel who handled payment processing, online transactions, invoice review, supplier documentation, approval routing, transaction recording, and related accounts payable activities. The setting was appropriate for the study because it reflected a work environment where digital payment procedures, electronic documentation, approval controls, and finance system records were part of regular operations. Since the study focused on accounts payable process efficiency and financial control reliability, the selected locale provided direct access to employees who were familiar with the procedures, challenges, and control requirements of corporate finance operations.

Participants and Sampling Technique

The participants of the study were finance personnel who had direct knowledge of accounts payable activities, online transaction processing, financial documentation, payment review, approval monitoring, and related control procedures. Employees who were not exposed to accounts payable work or who had no direct involvement in finance transaction processing were excluded from the study to preserve the relevance of the responses. The study used criterion-based purposive sampling. This technique was considered appropriate because the study required participants who could provide informed responses based on actual work experience rather than general impressions of finance operations. The selection of participants was guided by their functional exposure to accounts payable procedures, their familiarity with transaction processing, and their involvement in finance-related control practices.

Research Instrument

The main instrument used in the study was a researcher-made structured questionnaire developed from the variables of the study. The questionnaire was divided into two major parts. The first part measured accounts payable process efficiency in terms of invoice processing timeliness, documentation completeness, approval workflow efficiency, transaction accuracy, and exception handling. The second part measured financial control reliability in terms of authorization control, payment validation, record accuracy, policy compliance, and audit trail dependability. The items were written in clear workplace-based statements so that the participants could respond based on their actual experience in corporate finance operations and online transaction handling.

The instrument used a five-point Likert scale to determine the extent to which each statement described the observed practices in the organization. Prior to the actual data gathering, the questionnaire was subjected to content validation by experts in accounting, finance operations, and research instrumentation. Their comments focused on the clarity of items, alignment with the study variables, appropriateness of terms, and suitability of the indicators to the account's payable context. The suggestions from the validators were incorporated before the instrument was finalized.

A pilot test was also conducted among finance personnel who were not included in the actual participants of the study. The purpose of the pilot test was to examine the clarity, consistency, and reliability of the instrument. The results of the reliability test showed that the accounts payable process efficiency scale obtained a Cronbach's alpha coefficient of 0.91, while the financial control reliability scale obtained a Cronbach's alpha coefficient of 0.93. The overall reliability coefficient of the instrument was 0.94. These values indicated that the questionnaire had excellent internal consistency and was suitable for actual administration. Items that appeared repetitive or unclear during the pilot testing were refined to improve readability and measurement precision.

Data Gathering

The researcher first secured permission from the appropriate authority in the corporate finance office before conducting the study. After approval was granted, the participants were informed about the purpose of the research, the voluntary nature of their participation, and the confidentiality of their responses. The questionnaire was

ISSN: 3116-3475
International Journal
of Education, Research, and Innovation Perspectives
Volume 2 Issue 6 (June 2026)

distributed either through a secure online form or through printed copies, depending on the preferred arrangement of the office and the accessibility of the participants. The participants were given sufficient time to answer the instrument without pressure from the researcher or from their immediate supervisors.

After the completed questionnaires were retrieved, the responses were checked for completeness and consistency. Incomplete responses and entries with patterned or unusable answers were excluded from the final data set. The data were then encoded, cleaned, and prepared for statistical treatment. The researcher ensured that the encoded responses matched the scale values of the instrument. The final data set was used only for the purposes of the study and was stored in a secure file to protect the identity and responses of the participants.

Data Analysis

The data were analyzed using descriptive, diagnostic, and predictive statistical procedures. Weighted mean and standard deviation were used to determine the level of accounts payable process efficiency and financial control reliability. These tools helped summarize the general condition of the two major variables and their specific dimensions.

To examine the relationship between accounts payable process efficiency and financial control reliability, Spearman's rank-order correlation was used. This treatment was selected because Likert-scale responses were ordinal in nature and because the study sought to determine whether higher levels of process efficiency were associated with stronger control reliability. Before conducting predictive analysis, the data were examined for missing values, response consistency, and distribution patterns.

To determine which dimensions of accounts payable process efficiency best predicted financial control reliability, ordinal logistic regression was used. This was selected because the dependent variable was measured through ordered response categories and because the study aimed to identify the efficiency indicators that had the strongest influence on control reliability. This approach provided a more suitable analysis than ordinary linear regression because it respected the ordered structure of Likert-scale data. The model also helped identify whether timeliness, documentation completeness, approval workflow efficiency, transaction accuracy, or exception handling had a stronger contribution to reliable financial controls.

In addition, relative importance analysis was used to determine the practical weight of each efficiency dimension in explaining variations in financial control reliability. This technique provided a clearer interpretation of the contribution of each predictor, especially when several dimensions were related to one another. The combination of ordinal logistic regression and relative importance analysis allowed the study to move beyond basic association and provide a more meaningful explanation of which process areas deserved closer management attention.

Ethical Consideration

The study observed ethical standards throughout the research process. Participation was voluntary, and the participants were informed that they could decline or withdraw from the study without any negative consequence. Informed consent was secured before the questionnaire was administered. The researcher explained the purpose of the study, the nature of the questions, the expected use of the data, and the measures taken to protect confidentiality.

No personal or sensitive employee information was collected. The study did not require the disclosure of confidential company amounts, vendor names, bank details, passwords, internal system credentials, or restricted financial records. The questions focused only on perceptions and work-related observations about accounts payable process efficiency and financial control reliability. Responses were treated with strict confidentiality and were reported only in summarized form. The data were stored securely and were accessed only by the researcher for analysis and documentation purposes. The study also avoided any procedure that could affect the employment status, performance rating, or workplace relationship of the participants.

ISSN: 3116-3475
International Journal
of Education, Research, and Innovation Perspectives
Volume 2 Issue 6 (June 2026)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1. *Level of Accounts Payable Process Efficiency in Corporate Finance Operations*

Dimension	Mean	SD	Descriptive Interpretation
Invoice Processing Timeliness	4.08	0.61	High
Documentation Completeness	4.16	0.58	High
Approval Workflow Efficiency	3.91	0.66	High
Transaction Accuracy	4.22	0.55	Very High
Exception Handling	3.74	0.72	High
Overall Mean	4.02	0.62	High

Scale: 4.21 to 5.00, Very High; 3.41 to 4.20, High; 2.61 to 3.40, Moderate; 1.81 to 2.60, Low; 1.00 to 1.80, Very Low.

Table 1 shows that the level of accounts payable process efficiency in corporate finance operations was generally high, as reflected by the overall mean of 4.02. This means that the accounts payable function was able to support the regular demands of invoice processing, payment preparation, online transaction handling, and documentation review in a generally dependable manner. The result suggests that the finance unit had an organized payment process, although some stages still required improvement to reach a consistently excellent level.

Among the dimensions, transaction accuracy received the highest mean of 4.22, interpreted as very high. This indicates that payment amounts, invoice details, supplier information, and transaction entries were usually checked with care before processing. This result is important because accuracy is one of the most critical expectations in accounts payable work. Even minor errors in supplier payments or accounting entries may affect cash records, vendor balances, expense recognition, and the credibility of financial reports. The very high rating for transaction accuracy suggests that the finance personnel gave strong attention to the correctness of payment-related information before transactions were finalized.

Documentation completeness also received a high mean of 4.16. This implies that invoices, purchase orders, receipts, approval records, and other supporting documents were usually available and properly attached before payment processing. This finding is favorable because complete documentation strengthens the audit trail and makes transaction review more reliable. In accounts payable operations, missing documents often cause delays, repeated follow-ups, and uncertainty during validation. The result shows that the organization had a working documentation practice, although there was still room to make the process more consistent across all transactions.

Invoice processing timeliness obtained a high mean of 4.08. This suggests that invoices were generally processed within a reasonable period, although not always with the same speed. The result may be linked to varying invoice volume, approval dependencies, online system availability, and the completeness of documents submitted by requesting units or suppliers. A high result in this area is positive because delayed invoice processing may affect payment schedules, vendor relations, and cash flow planning. However, the mean also shows that timeliness had not yet reached a very high level, which means that certain bottlenecks may still occur during busy transaction periods.

Approval workflow efficiency received a high mean of 3.91. This indicates that approval routing was functional but remained one of the areas where delays or repeated follow-ups may still be experienced. In corporate finance operations, payment requests usually pass through several reviewing and approving personnel. While multiple approval layers are necessary for control, they may also slow down processing when responsibilities are unclear or when approvals depend heavily on manual reminders. The result suggests that the approval workflow supported financial control, but it may still benefit from clearer timelines, automated notifications, and better monitoring of pending approvals.

Exception handling obtained the lowest mean of 3.74, although it was still interpreted as high. This means that unusual transactions, incomplete documents, mismatched invoices, payment discrepancies, and supplier concerns were addressed, but not always with the same level of speed and consistency. This result reveals a realistic

ISSN: 3116-3475
International Journal
of Education, Research, and Innovation Perspectives
Volume 2 Issue 6 (June 2026)

process concern. Accounts payable operations may be efficient when transactions are complete and routine, but efficiency may decline when exceptions require additional verification, coordination, or management decision. The lower rating for exception handling suggests that the organization needed stronger procedures for resolving invoice issues, rejected payments, duplicate payment risks, and unclear supporting documents.

Taken as a whole, the findings indicate that the accounts payable process was generally efficient, especially in terms of transaction accuracy and documentation. However, the results also show that the finance unit still faced operational pressure in approval workflow and exception handling. This means that the process was not weak, but it was not yet fully optimized. The main concern was not the absence of procedures, but the consistency of their application when transactions became more complex or required additional review.

Table 2. *Level of Financial Control Reliability in Corporate Finance Operations*

Dimension	Mean	SD	Descriptive Interpretation
Authorization Control	4.18	0.57	High
Payment Validation	4.20	0.54	High
Record Accuracy	4.24	0.51	Very High
Policy Compliance	4.06	0.63	High
Audit Trail Dependability	3.87	0.68	High
Overall Mean	4.11	0.59	High

Scale: 4.21 to 5.00, Very High; 3.41 to 4.20, High; 2.61 to 3.40, Moderate; 1.81 to 2.60, Low; 1.00 to 1.80, Very Low.

Table 2 presents the level of financial control reliability in corporate finance operations. The overall mean of 4.11 indicates a high level of reliability. This means that the organization's accounts payable controls were generally dependable in supporting authorized payments, accurate records, proper validation, compliance with procedures, and traceable transaction history. The finding suggests that the finance unit had control practices that reduced the possibility of unauthorized or unsupported payments. However, the results also indicate that the reliability of financial control was not uniform across all areas.

Record accuracy obtained the highest mean of 4.24 and was interpreted as very high. This indicates that accounts payable entries, payment records, supplier balances, and related financial data were generally recorded correctly. This is a strong finding because record accuracy affects the reliability of financial statements, internal reports, bank reconciliation, liability monitoring, and management decisions. The result suggests that the finance personnel were careful in recording payment transactions and maintaining the integrity of financial information.

Payment validation received a high mean of 4.20, which was close to the very high range. This means that the organization usually verified the legitimacy, amount, documentation, and purpose of payments before they were processed. Strong payment validation is necessary because accounts payable is one of the areas most exposed to duplicate payments, incorrect vendor details, unsupported claims, and unauthorized disbursements. The result shows that validation practices were present and generally effective, although there was still a need to sustain consistency, especially in online transactions where processing can move quickly.

Authorization control obtained a high mean of 4.18. This suggests that payment requests were generally reviewed and approved by authorized personnel before release. The result is favorable because authorization is a central element of financial control. Without clear approval limits and authorized review, the organization may be exposed to irregular or unnecessary payments. However, since the rating did not reach the very high level, the finding may also suggest that some approval practices still relied on follow-ups, manual checking, or occasional clarification of approval authority.

Policy compliance received a high mean of 4.06. This indicates that finance personnel generally followed internal procedures, documentation requirements, and payment policies. The result shows that the organization had a functioning compliance culture in accounts payable operations. However, the mean also suggests that policy observance may not always be consistent across all transactions. This may happen when urgent payments, incomplete documents, supplier pressure, or system limitations affect the normal process. The result implies that

ISSN: 3116-3475
International Journal
of Education, Research, and Innovation Perspectives
Volume 2 Issue 6 (June 2026)

compliance should be reinforced not only through written rules but also through regular monitoring and clear accountability.

Audit trail dependability obtained the lowest mean of 3.87, although it remained within the high range. This suggests that transaction records were generally traceable, but some gaps may still exist in the completeness, organization, or retrieval of supporting documents and approval histories. This result is important because audit trail dependability becomes more critical in online transaction environments. When approvals, documents, and payment confirmations are stored in different systems or files, tracing the full history of a transaction may become difficult. The finding indicates that the organization had an audit trail, but it needed improvement in terms of document linking, system integration, file organization, and retrieval speed.

Overall, the findings show that the organization maintained a high level of financial control reliability. The strongest area was recording accuracy, while the weakest area was audit trail dependability. This pattern suggests that the finance unit was effective in ensuring that transactions were recorded correctly, but the supporting trail behind each transaction may not always be equally strong. In a corporate finance setting, this distinction is important because accurate records must also be supported by complete, accessible, and verifiable documentation.

Table 3. *Spearman Rank-Order Correlation Between Accounts Payable Process Efficiency and Financial Control Reliability*

Variables	Spearman's rho	p-value	Strength of Relationship	Interpretation
Accounts Payable Process Efficiency and Financial Control Reliability	0.72	< .001	Strong Positive	Significant

Table 3 shows the result of the Spearman rank-order correlation analysis between accounts payable process efficiency and financial control reliability. The computed Spearman's rho of 0.72 with a p-value of less than .001 indicates a strong positive and significant relationship between the two variables. This means that higher accounts payable process efficiency was associated with stronger financial control reliability.

The result confirms that process efficiency and control reliability were not separate concerns in corporate finance operations. When invoice processing was timely, documents were complete, approvals moved efficiently, transactions were accurate, and exceptions were resolved properly, financial controls also became more reliable. This means that a well-managed accounts payable process helped support proper authorization, payment validation, accurate recording, policy compliance, and dependable audit trails.

The strong relationship also suggests that delays, unclear documentation, repeated approval follow-ups, and weak exception handling may not only affect processing speed but may also create control risks. For example, when a payment request remains pending for too long, it may be rushed later, reviewed less carefully, or approved without complete verification. Similarly, when supporting documents are incomplete, the audit trail becomes weaker even if the payment is eventually recorded. This shows that operational inefficiency can become a control concern.

The significant correlation further indicates that improving accounts payable process efficiency may be an effective way to strengthen financial control reliability. The result does not mean that speed alone guarantees control. Rather, it suggests that efficient systems, clear procedures, complete documentation, and accurate transaction handling contribute to stronger control outcomes. In this sense, the accounts payable function should be viewed as both a processing unit and a control-sensitive area within corporate finance operations.

Table 4. *Ordinal Logistic Regression Analysis of Accounts Payable Process Efficiency Dimensions as Predictors of Financial Control Reliability*

Predictor	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald χ^2	p-value	Odds Ratio	Interpretation
Invoice Processing Timeliness	0.48	0.19	6.39	.011	1.62	Significant Predictor
Documentation Completeness	0.71	0.21	11.43	.001	2.03	Significant Predictor
Approval Workflow Efficiency	0.39	0.18	4.69	.030	1.48	Significant Predictor
Transaction Accuracy	0.82	0.24	11.67	.001	2.27	Significant Predictor

ISSN: 3116-3475
International Journal
of Education, Research, and Innovation Perspectives
Volume 2 Issue 6 (June 2026)

Predictor	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald χ^2	p-value	Odds Ratio	Interpretation
Exception Handling	0.65	0.22	8.73	.003	1.92	Significant Predictor

Model Fit: $\chi^2 = 68.41$, $p < .001$
Nagelkerke Pseudo R^2: 0.54
Test of Parallel Lines: $\chi^2 = 7.82$, $p = .251$

Table 4 presents the ordinal logistic regression analysis of the accounts payable process efficiency dimensions as predictors of financial control reliability. The model fit was significant, with $\chi^2 = 68.41$ and $p < .001$. This means that the combined dimensions of accounts payable process efficiency significantly predicted the level of financial control reliability. The Nagelkerke Pseudo R^2 value of 0.54 indicates that the model explained a substantial portion of the variation in financial control reliability. The test of parallel lines was not significant, with $p = .251$, which means that the proportional odds assumption was satisfied and the ordinal logistic model was appropriate for the data.

Transaction accuracy emerged as the strongest predictor, with an estimate of 0.82, $p = .001$, and an odds ratio of 2.27. This means that higher transaction accuracy increased the likelihood of achieving a higher level of financial control reliability. In practical terms, when invoice amounts, vendor details, payment references, and accounting entries were checked carefully, the reliability of financial control became stronger. This finding is expected because inaccurate transactions directly weaken payment validation, financial reporting, and internal review.

Documentation completeness was also a strong predictor, with an estimate of 0.71, $p = .001$, and an odds ratio of 2.03. This means that complete documents made it more likely for financial controls to be rated at a higher level. The result highlights the importance of having invoices, purchase requests, approvals, receipts, contracts, and payment confirmations properly attached and accessible. In accounts payable, even a valid payment may become difficult to defend during review when the supporting documents are incomplete. This finding shows that documentation is not only an administrative requirement. It is a central part of control reliability.

Exception handling significantly predicted financial control reliability, with an estimate of 0.65, $p = .003$, and an odds ratio of 1.92. This means that the ability to resolve mismatched invoices, incomplete documents, supplier concerns, duplicate payment risks, and rejected transactions contributed meaningfully to stronger control reliability. This result is important because the lowest descriptive score in accounts payable process efficiency was found in exception handling. Although it was rated high, it remained a process area that needed closer attention. The regression result shows that exception handling mattered not only for workflow efficiency but also for financial control.

Invoice processing timeliness was also a significant predictor, with an estimate of 0.48, $p = .011$, and an odds ratio of 1.62. This suggests that timely invoice processing increased the likelihood of stronger financial control reliability. Timeliness supports control because it allows finance personnel to review documents within a reasonable period, detect issues early, and avoid rushed payment processing. Delayed transactions may create pressure that weakens review quality, especially when payment deadlines are near. The result shows that time management in accounts payable has control implications.

Approval workflow efficiency had the smallest but still significant predictive effect, with an estimate of 0.39, $p = .030$, and an odds ratio of 1.48. This means that smoother approval routing contributed to stronger financial control reliability, although its effect was weaker compared with transaction accuracy and documentation completeness. This result suggests that approvals were important, but they became more effective when supported by accurate transaction details and complete documents. In other words, approval alone did not guarantee control reliability unless the approver had sufficient and accurate information to review.

Overall, the regression findings show that all dimensions of accounts payable process efficiency significantly influenced financial control reliability. The strongest predictors were transaction accuracy, documentation completeness, and exception handling. These results indicate that the finance unit should not only

ISSN: 3116-3475
International Journal
of Education, Research, and Innovation Perspectives
Volume 2 Issue 6 (June 2026)

focus on faster processing. It should also strengthen the quality of transaction checking, completeness of supporting records, and systematic handling of irregular or problematic transactions.

Table 5. Relative Importance Analysis of Accounts Payable Process Efficiency Dimensions in Explaining Financial Control Reliability

Predictor	Relative Weight	Percentage Contribution	Rank
Transaction Accuracy	0.168	31.1%	1
Documentation Completeness	0.137	25.4%	2
Exception Handling	0.104	19.3%	3
Invoice Processing Timeliness	0.076	14.1%	4
Approval Workflow Efficiency	0.055	10.1%	5
Total Explained Relative Weight	0.540	100.0%	

Table 5 shows the relative importance analysis of the accounts payable process efficiency dimensions in explaining financial control reliability. The analysis confirms the pattern found in the ordinal logistic regression, but it provides a clearer view of the practical contribution of each predictor.

Transaction accuracy had the highest percentage contribution at 31.1 percent. This means that among the account's payable efficiency dimensions, transaction accuracy carried the greatest practical weight in explaining financial control reliability. This result is reasonable because control reliability depends heavily on whether the transaction being approved and recorded is correct. If the amount, vendor information, payment reference, account classification, or supporting entry is wrong, the reliability of the control system is immediately affected.

Documentation completeness ranked second, with a contribution of 25.4 percent. This shows that the presence of complete and accessible documents was also a major factor in strengthening financial control reliability. In accounts payable operations, documentation provides evidence that a payment is valid, reviewed, approved, and consistent with company policy. A transaction may be accurate in amount, but if the supporting documents are missing or difficult to retrieve, the control trail remains weak.

Exception handling ranked third, contributing 19.3 percent. This finding is notable because exception handling had the lowest descriptive mean among the accounts payable efficiency dimensions, yet it was one of the most important contributors to control reliability. This means that the organization's weakest process area was also one of the most influential. When invoice discrepancies, incomplete documents, duplicate entries, and unusual transactions are not resolved properly, control reliability may decline even if routine transactions are processed efficiently.

Invoice processing timeliness contributed 14.1 percent. This indicates that timely processing supported financial control reliability, but its contribution was lower than transaction accuracy, documentation, and exception handling. This result suggests that speed matters, but it is not the strongest measure of a reliable accounts payable process. A fast process becomes meaningful only when it is also accurate, documented, and properly reviewed.

Approval workflow efficiency contributed 10.1 percent, the lowest among the predictors. This does not mean that approvals were unimportant. Rather, it suggests that approval routing had a smaller practical effect compared with the quality of transaction details and supporting documents. Approvals are strongest when decision-makers receive complete, accurate, and properly validated information. If the payment file lacks these qualities, the approval process may become a formality rather than a meaningful control.

The findings from the relative importance analysis suggest that the organization should prioritize transaction accuracy, documentation completeness, and exception handling when improving accounts payable operations. These areas had the greatest influence on financial control reliability. The result also indicates that process improvement should not focus only on reducing turnaround time. It should also improve the quality of review, the completeness of records, and the resolution of unusual transactions.

ISSN: 3116-3475
International Journal
of Education, Research, and Innovation Perspectives
Volume 2 Issue 6 (June 2026)

CONCLUSION

Accounts payable process efficiency played a significant role in strengthening financial control reliability in corporate finance operations. The findings showed that the accounts payable function was generally efficient, particularly in transaction accuracy and documentation completeness, while exception handling and approval workflow efficiency remained areas that needed closer attention. Financial control reliability was also found to be high, especially in record accuracy and payment validation, but audit trail dependability still required improvement to ensure that all online transactions, supporting documents, approvals, and payment histories could be easily traced and verified. The strong positive relationship between accounts payable process efficiency and financial control reliability confirmed that faster, clearer, more accurate, and better-documented payment processes contributed to stronger authorization control, policy compliance, payment validation, and financial record dependability. Based on these findings, it is recommended that the organization strengthen its accounts payable system by improving exception-handling procedures, setting clearer timelines for approval routing, standardizing document submission requirements, and enhancing digital audit trail management. It is also recommended that finance personnel be provided with periodic training on online transaction controls, invoice validation, documentation review, and fraud prevention. Management should also consider using automated reminders, approval tracking tools, periodic internal reviews, and regular reconciliation checks to reduce processing delays and control gaps. Overall, improving accounts payable efficiency should not focus only on speed, but also on accuracy, completeness, accountability, and traceability, since these elements directly support reliable financial control in corporate finance operations.

References

Association of Certified Fraud Examiners. (2024). *Occupational fraud 2024: A report to the nations*. Association of Certified Fraud Examiners.

Cooper, L. A., Holderness, D. K., Jr., Sorensen, T. L., & Wood, D. A. (2019). Robotic process automation in public accounting. *Accounting Horizons, 33*(4), 15–35. https://doi.org/10.2308/acch-52466

Kaya, C. T., Türkyılmaz, M., & Birol, B. (2019). Impact of RPA technologies on accounting systems. *Muhasebe ve Finansman Dergisi, 82*, 235–250. https://doi.org/10.25095/mufad.536083

Monteiro, A. P., Vale, J., Leite, E., Lis, M., & Kurowska-Pysz, J. (2022). The impact of information systems and non-financial information on company success. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems, 45*, Article 100557. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accinf.2022.100557

Monteiro, A. P., Vale, J., Silva, A., & Pereira, C. (2021). Impact of the internal control and accounting systems on the financial information usefulness: The role of the financial information quality. *Academy of Strategic Management Journal, 20*(Special Issue 3), 1–13.

Otoo, F. N. K., Kaur, M., & Rather, N. A. (2023). Evaluating the impact of internal control systems on organizational effectiveness. *LBS Journal of Management & Research, 21*(1), 135–154. https://doi.org/10.1108/LBSJMR-11-2022-0078

PricewaterhouseCoopers, Association of Chartered Certified Accountants, & INSEAD Emerging Markets Institute. (2019). *Re-inventing internal controls in the digital age*. PricewaterhouseCoopers.

Sampaio, C., & Silva, R. (2025). Digital transformation in accounting: An assessment of automation and AI integration. *International Journal of Financial Studies, 13*(4), Article 206. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijfs13040206